Subpart C—Monitoring and Analytical Requirements

§ 141.21 Coliform sampling.

§ 141.21

(a) Routine monitoring. (1) Public water systems must collect total coliform samples at sites which are representative of water throughout the distribution system according to a written sample siting plan. These plans are subject to State review and revision

(2) The monitoring frequency for total coliforms for community water systems is based on the population served by the system, as follows:

TOTAL COLIFORM MONITORING FREQUENCY FOR COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEMS

	Minimum
Population served	number of sam- ples per month
25 to 1,0001	
1,001 to 2,500	2
2,501 to 3,300	
3,301 to 4,100	
4,101 to 4,900	ļ
4,901 to 5,800	
5,801 to 6,700	•
6,701 to 7,600	
7,601 to 8,500	
8,501 to 12,900	14
12,901 to 17,200 17,201 to 21,500	13
17,201 to 21,500	2
21,501 to 25,000	2
25,001 to 33,000	3
33,001 to 41,000	4
41,001 to 50,000	5
50,001 to 59,000	6
59,001 to 70,000	7
70,001 to 83,000	В
83,001 to 96,000	9
96,001 to 130,000	10
130,001 to 220,000	12
220,001 to 320,000	15
320,001 to 450,000	18
450,001 to 600,000	21
600,001 to 780,000	24
780,001 to 970,000	27
970,001 to 1,230,000	30
1,230,001 to 1,520,000	33
1,520,001 to 1,850,000	36
1,850,001 to 2,270,000	39
2,270,001 to 3,020,000	42
3,020,001 to 3,960,000	45
3,960,001 or more	48

¹Includes public water systems which have at least 15 service connections, but serve fewer than 25 persons.

If a community water system serving 25 to 1,000 persons has no history of total coliform contamination in its current configuration and a sanitary survey conducted in the past five years shows that the system is supplied solely by a protected groundwater source and is free of sanitary defects, the State may reduce the monitoring frequency specified above, except that in no case may the State reduce the monitoring frequency to less than one sample per quarter. The State must approve the reduced monitoring frequency in writing.

(3) The monitoring frequency for total coliforms for non-community water systems is as follows:

(i) A non-community water system using only ground water (except ground water under the direct influence of surface water, as defined in §141.2) and serving 1,000 persons or fewer must monitor each calendar quarter that the system provides water to the public, except that the State may reduce this monitoring frequency, in writing, if a sanitary survey shows that the system is free of sanitary defects. Beginning June 29, 1994, the State cannot reduce the monitoring frequency for a non-community water system using only ground water (except ground water under the direct influence of surface water, as defined in §141.2) and serving 1,000 persons or fewer to less than once/year.

(ii) A non-community water system using only ground water (except ground water under the direct influence of surface water, as defined in §141.2) and serving more than 1,000 persons during any month must monitor at the same frequency as a like-sized community water system, as specified in paragraph (a)(2) of this section, except the State may reduce this monitoring frequency, in writing, for any month the system serves 1,000 persons or fewer. The State cannot reduce the monitoring frequency to less than once/year. For systems using ground water under the direct influence of surface water, paragraph (a)(3)(iv) of this section applies.

(iii) A non-community water system using surface water, in total or in part, must monitor at the same frequency as a like-sized community water system,

as specified in paragraph (a)(2) of this section, regardless of the number of persons it serves.

- (iv) A non-community water system using ground water under the direct influence of surface water, as defined in §141.2, must monitor at the same frequency as a like-sized community water system, as specified in paragraph (a)(2) of this section. The system must begin monitoring at this frequency beginning six months after the State determines that the ground water is under the direct influence of surface water.
- (4) The public water system must collect samples at regular time intervals throughout the month, except that a system which uses only ground water (except ground water under the direct influence of surface water, as defined in §141.2), and serves 4,900 persons or fewer, may collect all required samples on a single day if they are taken from different sites.
- (5) A public water system that uses surface water or ground water under the direct influence of surface water, as defined in §141.2, and does not practice filtration in compliance with Subpart H must collect at least one sample near the first service connection each day the turbidity level of the source water, measured as specified in §141.74(b)(2), exceeds 1 NTU. This sample must be analyzed for the presence of total coliforms. When one or more turbidity measurements in any day exceed 1 NTU, the system must collect this coliform sample within 24 hours of the first exceedance, unless the State determines that the system, for logistical reasons outside the system's control, cannot have the sample analyzed within 30 hours of collection. Sample results from this coliform monitoring must be included in determining compliance with the MCL for total coliforms in §141.63.
- (6) Special purpose samples, such as those taken to determine whether disinfection practices are sufficient following pipe placement, replacement, or repair, shall not be used to determine compliance with the MCL for total coliforms in §141.63. Repeat samples taken pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section are not considered special purpose samples, and must be used to de-

termine compliance with the MCL for total coliforms in §141.63.

- (b) Repeat monitoring, (1) If a routine sample is total coliform-positive, the public water system must collect a set of repeat samples within 24 hours of being notified of the positive result. A system which collects more than one routine sample/month must collect no fewer than three repeat samples for each total coliform-positive sample found. A system which collects one routine sample/month or fewer must collect no fewer than four repeat samples for each total coliform-positive sample found. The State may extend the 24-hour limit on a case-by-case basis if the system has a logistical problem in collecting the repeat samples within 24 hours that is beyond its control. In the case of an extension. the State must specify how much time the system has to collect the repeat samples.
- (2) The system must collect at least one repeat sample from the sampling tap where the original total coliformpositive sample was taken, and at least one repeat sample at a tap within five service connections upstream and at least one repeat sample at a tap within five service connections downstream of the original sampling site. If a total coliform-positive sample is at the end of the distribution system, or one away from the end of the distribution system, the State may waive the requirement to collect at least one repeat sample upstream or downstream of the original sampling site.

(3) The system must collect all repeat samples on the same day, except that the State may allow a system with a single service connection to collect the required set of repeat samples over a four-day period or to collect a larger volume repeat sample(s) in one or more sample containers of any size, as long as the total volume collected is at least 400 ml (300 ml for systems which collect more than one routine sample/month).

(4) If one or more repeat samples in the set is total coliform-positive, the public water system must collect an additional set of repeat samples in the manner specified in paragraphs (b) (1)—(3) of this section. The additional samples must be collected within 24 hours

of being notified of the positive result, unless the State extends the limit as provided in paragraph (b)(1) of this section. The system must repeat this process until either total coliforms are not detected in one complete set of repeat samples or the system determines that the MCL for total coliforms in \$141.63 has been exceeded and notifies the State.

- (5) If a system collecting fewer than five routine samples/month has one or more total coliform-positive samples and the State does not invalidate the sample(s) under paragraph (c) of this section, it must collect at least five routine samples during the next month the system provides water to the public, except that the State may waive this requirement if the conditions of paragraph (b)(5) (i) or (ii) of this section are met. The State cannot waive the requirement for a system to collect repeat samples in paragraphs (b) (1)-(4) of this section.
- (i) The State may waive the requirement to collect five routine samples the next month the system provides water to the public if the State, or an agent approved by the State, performs a site visit before the end of the next month the system provides water to the public. Although a sanitary survey need not be performed, the site visit must be sufficiently detailed to allow the State to determine whether additional monitoring and/or any corrective action is needed. The State cannot approve an employee of the system to perform this site visit, even if the employee is an agent approved by the State to perform sanitary surveys.
- (ii) The State may waive the requirement to collect five routine samples the next month the system provides water to the public if the State has determined why the sample was total coliform-positive and establishes that the system has corrected the problem or will correct the problem before the end of the next month the system serves water to the public. In this case, the State must document this decision to waive the following month's additional monitoring requirement in writing, have it approved and signed by the supervisor of the State official who recommends such a decision, and make this document available to the EPA

and public. The written documentation must describe the specific cause of the total coliform-positive sample and what action the system has taken and/ or will take to correct this problem. The State cannot waive the requirement to collect five routine samples the next month the system provides water to the public solely on the grounds that all repeat samples are total coliform-negative. Under this paragraph, a system must still take at least one routine sample before the end of the next month it serves water to the public and use it to determine compliance with the MCL for total coliforms in §141.63, unless the State has determined that the system has corrected the contamination problem before the system took the set of repeat samples required in paragraphs (b) (1)-(4) of this section, and all repeat samples were total coliform-negative.

- (6) After a system collects a routine sample and before it learns the results of the analysis of that sample, if it collects another routine sample(s) from within five adjacent service connections of the initial sample, and the initial sample, after analysis, is found to contain total coliforms, then the system may count the subsequent sample(s) as a repeat sample instead of as a routine sample.
- (7) Results of all routine and repeat samples not invalidated by the State must be included in determining compliance with the MCL for total coliforms in §141.63.
- (c) Invalidation of total coliform samples. A total coliform-positive sample invalidated under this paragraph (c) does not count towards meeting the minimum monitoring requirements of this section.
- (1) The State may invalidate a total coliform-positive sample only if the conditions of paragraph (c)(1) (i), (ii), or (iii) of this section are met.
- (i) The laboratory establishes that improper sample analysis caused the total coliform-positive result.
- (ii) The State, on the basis of the results of repeat samples collected as required by paragraphs (b) (1) through (4) of this section, determines that the total coliform-positive sample resulted from a domestic or other non-distribution system plumbing problem. The

State cannot invalidate a sample on the basis of repeat sample results unless all repeat sample(s) collected at the same tap as the original total coliform-positive sample are also total coliform-positive, and all repeat samples collected within five service connections of the original tap are total coliform-negative (e.g., a State cannot invalidate a total coliform-positive sample on the basis of repeat samples if all the repeat samples are total coliform-negative, or if the public water system has only one service connection).

- (iii) The State has substantial grounds to believe that a total coliform-positive result is due to a circumstance or condition which does not reflect water quality in the distribution system. In this case, the system must still collect all repeat samples required under paragraphs (b) (1)-(4) of this section, and use them to determine compliance with the MCL for total coliforms in §141.63. To invalidate a total coliform-positive sample under this paragraph, the decision with the rationale for the decision must be documented in writing, and approved and signed by the supervisor of the State official who recommended the decision. The State must make this document available to EPA and the public. The written documentation must state the specific cause of the total coliformpositive sample, and what action the system has taken, or will take, to correct this problem. The State may not invalidate a total coliform-positive sample solely on the grounds that all repeat samples are total coliform-negative.
- (2) A laboratory must invalidate a total coliform sample (unless total coliforms are detected) if the sample produces a turbid culture in the absence of gas production using an analytical method where gas formation is examined (e.g., the Multiple-Tube Fermentation Technique), produces a turbid culture in the absence of an acid reaction in the Presence-Absence (P-A) Coliform Test, or exhibits confluent growth or produces colonies too numerous to count with an analytical method using a membrane filter (e.g., Membrane Filter Technique). If a laboratory invalidates a sample because of

such interference, the system must collect another sample from the same location as the original sample within 24 hours of being notified of the interference problem, and have it analyzed for the presence of total coliforms. The system must continue to re-sample within 24 hours and have the samples analyzed until it obtains a valid result. The State may waive the 24-hour time limit on a case-by-case basis.

- (d) Sanitary surveys. (1)(i) Public water systems which do not collect five or more routine samples/month must undergo an initial sanitary survey by June 29, 1994, for community public water systems and June 29, 1999, for non-community water systems. Thereafter, systems must undergo another sanitary survey every five years, except that non-community water systems using only protected and disinfected ground water, as defined by the State, must undergo subsequent sanitary surveys at least every ten years after the initial sanitary survey. The State must review the results of each sanitary survey to determine whether the existing monitoring frequency is adequate and what additional measures, if any, the system needs to undertake to improve drinking water quality.
- (ii) In conducting a sanitary survey of a system using ground water in a State having an EPA-approved well-head protection program under section 1428 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, information on sources of contamination within the delineated wellhead protection area that was collected in the course of developing and implementing the program should be considered instead of collecting new information, if the information was collected since the last time the system was subject to a sanitary survey.
- (2) Sanitary surveys must be performed by the State or an agent approved by the State. The system is responsible for ensuring the survey takes place.
- (3) Sanitary surveys conducted by the State under the provisions of \$142.16(0)(2) of this chapter may be used to meet the sanitary survey requirements of this section.
- (e) Fecal coliforms/Escherichia coli (E. coli) testing. (1) If any routine or repeat

sample is total coliform-positive, the system must analyze that total coliform-positive culture medium to determine if fecal coliforms are present, except that the system may test for E. coli in lieu of fecal coliforms. If fecal coliforms or E. coli are present, the system must notify the State by the end of the day when the system is notified of the test result, unless the system is notified of the result after the State office is closed, in which case the system must notify the State before the end of the next business day.

(2) The State has the discretion to allow a public water system, on a caseby-case basis, to forgo fecal coliform or E. coli testing on a total coliform-positive sample if that system assumes that the total coliform-positive sample

is fecal coliform-positive or E. coli-positive. Accordingly, the system must notify the State as specified in paragraph (e)(1) of this section and the provisions of §141.63(b) apply.

- (f) Analytical methodology. (1) The standard sample volume required for total coliform analysis, regardless of analytical method used, is 100 ml.
- (2) Public water systems need only determine the presence or absence of total coliforms; a determination of total coliform density is not required.
- (3) Public water systems must conduct total coliform analyses in accordance with one of the analytical methods in the following table or one of the alternative methods listed in appendix A to subpart C of this part.

Organism	Methodology 12	Citation 1
Total Coliforms ²	Total Coliform Fermentation Technique 3.4.5 Total Coliform Membrane Filter Technique 9 Presence-Absence (P-A) Coliform Test 5.7 CORPG-MUG Test 9 E*Colite ** Test. 10 Presence Test. 9 E*Colite ** Test. 11 Readycuit ** Coliforms 100 Presence/Absence Test. 13 Membrane Filter Technique using Chromocult ** Coliform Agar. 14 Colitag ** Test. 15	9221A, B. 9222A, B, C. 9221D. 9223.

The procedures shall be done in accordance with the documents listed below. The incorporation by reference of the following documents listed in footnotes 1, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14 and 15 was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies of the documents may be obtained from the sources listed below. Information regarding obtaining these documents can be obtained from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline at 800–426–4781. Documents may be inspected at EPA's Drinking Water Docket, EPA West, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., EPA West, Room B102, Washington DC 20480 (Telephone: 202–568–2426): or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–8030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_regulations/tbr_locations.thml. Istandard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 18th edition (1992), 19th edition (1995), or 20th edition (1998). American Public Health Association, 1015 Fitteenth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005. The cited methods published in any of these three editions may be used. In addition, the following online versions may also be used: 9221 A, B, D–99, 9222 A, B, C–97, and 9223 B–97. Standard Methods Committee is designated by the last two digits in the method number. The methods listed are the only Online versions that may be used.

2 The time from sample collection to initiation of analysis may not exceed 30 hours. Systems are encouraged but not required to hold samples below 10 deg. C during transit.

2 The time from sample collection to initiation of analysis may not exceed 30 hours. Systems are encouraged out not required to hold samples below 10 deg. C during transit.
3 Lactose broth, as commercially available, may be used in lieu of lauryl tryptose broth, if the system conducts at least 25 parallel tests between this medium and lauryl tryptose broth using the water normally tested, and this comparison demonstrates that the false-positive rate and false-negative rate for total coliform, using lactose broth, is less than 10 percent.
4 if inverted tubes are used to detect gas production, the media should cover these tubes at least one-half to two-thirds after the certain is added.

⁴If inverted tubes are used to detect gas production, the media should cover these tubes at least one-half to two-thirds after the sample is added.

No requirement exists to run the completed phase on 10 percent of all total coliform-positive confirmed tubes.

No requirement exists to run the completed phase on 10 percent of all total coliform-positive confirmed tubes.

Milliand and Escherichia coli in water' by Brenner, K.P., et. al., New medium for the simultaneous detection of total coliform and Escherichia coli in water' by Brenner, K.P., et. al., New medium for the simultaneous detection of total coliform and Escherichia coli in water' by Brenner, K.P., et. al., New participation.

Milliand and State of the State of the simultaneous detection of total coliform and Escherichia coliform.

Participation of the Colisure Test, Feb 28, 1994, may be obtained from IDEXX Laboratories, Inc., One IDEXX Drive, Westbrook, Maine 04092. The Colisure Test may be read after an incubation time of 24 hours.

Mestoropisch of the ErColite's Test, Presence/Absence for Coliforms and E. Coli in Water," Dec 21, 1997, is available from Charm Sciences, Inc., 36 Franklin Street, Malden, MA 02148–4120.

Male Colisor State of the Male Colisor of the Male Colisor of the Colisor of the Colisor of the Male Colisor of the Colisor of the Male Colisor of the Colisor of the Colisor of the Colisor of the Male Colisor of the Colisor of the Colisor of the Male Colisor of the Colisor o

11A description of the m-ColiBlue24* Test, Aug 17, 1999, is available from the Hach Company, 100 Dayton Avenue, Ames, IA 50010.
12 EPA strongly recommends that laboratories evaluate the false-positive and negative rates for the method(s) they use for monitoring total coliforms. EPA also encourages laboratories to establish false-positive and false-negative rates within their own laboratory and sample matrix (drinking water or source water) with the intent that if the method they choose has an unacceptable false-positive or negative rate, another method can be used. The Agency suggests that laboratories perform these studies on a minimum of 5% of all total coliform-positive samples, except for those methods where verification/confirmation is already required, e.g., the M-Endo and LES Endo Membrane Filter Tests, Standard Total Coliform Fermentation Technique, and Presence-Absence Coliform Test. Methods for establishing false-positive and negative-rates may be based on lactose fermentation, the rapid test for β-galactosidase and cytochrome oxidase, multi-test identification systems, or equivalent confirmation tests. False-positive and false-negative information is often available in published studies and/or from the manufacturer(s).

1º The Readycult.* Coliforms 100 Presence/Absence Test is described in the document, "Readycult.* Coliforms 100 Presence/Absence Test for Detection and Identification of Coliform Bacteria and Escherichia coli in Finished Waters", November 2000, Version 1.0, evaliable from EM Science (an affiliate of Merck KGgA, Darmstact Germany), 480 S. Democrat Road, Gibbstown, NJ 08027–1297. Telephone number is (800) 222–0342, e-mail address is: adellenbusch@emscience.com.
14 Membrane Filter Technique using Chromocult.* Coliform Agar is described in the document, "Chromocult.* Coliform Agar Presence/Absence Membrane Filter Test Method for Detection and Identification of Coliform Bacteria and Escherichia coli in Finished Waters", November 2000, Version 1.0, available from EM Science (an affiliate of Merck KGgA, Darmstadt Germany), 480 S. Democrat Road, Gibbstown, NJ 08027–1297. Telephone number is (800) 222–0342, e-mail address is: adellenbusch@emscience.com.

(4) [Reserved]

(5) Public water systems must conduct fecal coliform analysis in accordance with the following procedure. When the MTF Technique or Presence-Absence (PA) Coliform Test is used to test for total coliforms, shake the lactose-positive presumptive tube or P-A vigorously and transfer the growth with a sterile 3-mm loop or sterile applicator stick into brilliant green lactose bile broth and EC medium to determine the presence of total and fecal coliforms, respectively. For EPA-approved analytical methods which use a membrane filter, transfer the total coliform-positive culture by one of the following methods: remove the membrane containing the total coliform colonies from the substrate with a sterile forceps and carefully curl and insert the membrane into a tube of EC medium (the laboratory may first remove a small portion of selected colonies for verification), swab the entire membrane filter surface with a sterile cotton swab and transfer the inoculum to EC medium (do not leave the cotton swab in the EC medium), or inoculate individual total coliform-positive colonies into EC Medium. Gently shake the inoculated tubes of EC medium to insure adequate mixing and incubate in a waterbath at 44.5 ±0.2 °C for 24 ±2 hours. Gas production of any amount in the inner fermentation tube of the EC medium indicates a positive fecal coliform test. The preparation of EC medium is described in Method 9221E (paragraph 1a) in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 18th edition (1992), 19th edition (1995), and 20th edition (1998); the cited method in any one of these three editions may be used. Public water systems need only determine the presence or absence of fecal coliforms; a determination of fecal coliform density is not required.

- (6) Public water systems must conduct analysis of Escherichia coli in accordance with one of the following analytical methods or one of the alternative methods listed in appendix A to subpart C of this part.
- (i) EC medium supplemented with 50 μg/mL of 4-methylumbelliferyl-beta-Dglucuronide (MUG) (final concentration), as described in Method 9222G in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 19th edition (1995) and 20th edition (1998). Either edition may be used. Alternatively, the 18th edition (1992) may be used if at least 10 mL of EC medium, as described in paragraph (f)(5) of this section, is supplemented with 50 µg/mL of MUG before autoclaving. The inner inverted fermentation tube may be omitted. If the 18th edition is used, apply the procedure in paragraph (f)(5) of this section for transferring a total coliformpositive culture to EC medium supplemented with MUG, incubate the tube at 44.5 ±0.2 °C for 24 ±2 hours, and then observe fluorescence with an ultraviolet light (366 nm) in the dark. If fluorescence is visible, E. coli are present.
- (ii) Nutrient agar supplemented with 100 µg/mL of 4-methylumbelliferylbeta-D-glucuronide (MUG) (final concentration), as described in Method 9222G in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 19th edition (1995) and 20th edition (1998). Either edition may be used for determining if a total coliform-positive sample, as determined by a membrane filter technique, contains E. coli. Alternatively, the 18th edition (1992) may be used if the membrane filter containing a total coliform-positive colony(ies) is transferred to nutrient agar. as described in Method 9221B (paragraph 3) of Standard Methods (18th edition), supplemented with 100 µg/mL of

MUG. If the 18th edition is used, incubate the agar plate at 35 °C for 4 hours and then observe the colony(ies) under ultraviolet light (366 nm) in the dark for fluorescence. If fluorescence is visible, E. coli are present.

(iii) Minimal Medium ONPG-MUG (MMO-MUG) Test, as set forth in the article "National Field Evaluation of a Defined Substrate Method for the Simultaneous Detection of Total Coliforms and Escherichia coli from Drinking Water: Comparison with Presence-Absence Techniques" (Edberg et al.), Applied and Environmental Microbiology, Volume 55, pp. 1003-1008, April 1989. (Note: The Autoanalysis Colilert System is an MMO-MUG test). If the MMO-MUG test is total coliform-positive after a 24-hour incubation, test the medium for fluorescence with a 366-nm ultraviolet light (preferably with a 6watt lamp) in the dark. If fluorescence is observed, the sample is E. coli-positive. If fluorescence is questionable (cannot be definitively read) after 24 hours incubation, incubate the culture for an additional four hours (but not to exceed 28 hours total), and again test the medium for fluorescence. The MMO-MUG Test with hepes buffer in lieu of phosphate buffer is the only approved formulation for the detection of E. coli.

(iv) The Colisure Test. A description of the Colisure Test may be obtained from the Millipore Corporation, Technical Services Department, 80 Ashby Road, Bedford, MA 01730.

(v) The membrane filter method with MI agar, a description of which is cited in footnote 6 to the table in paragraph (f)(3) of this section.

(vi) E*Colite® Test, a description of which is cited in footnote 10 to the table at paragraph (f)(3) of this section.

(vii) m-ColiBlue24® Test, a description of which is cited in footnote 11 to the table in paragraph (f)(3) of this section.

(viii) Readycult® Coliforms 100 Presence/Absence Test, a description of which is cited in footnote 13 to the table at paragraph (f)(3) of this section.

(ix) Membrane Filter Technique using Chromocult® Coliform Agar, a description of which is cited in footnote 14 to the table at paragraph (f)(3) of this section.

(x) Colitag $^{\otimes}$, a description of which is cited in footnote 15 to the table at paragraph (f)(3) of this section.

(7) As an option to paragraph (f)(6)(iii) of this section, a system with a total coliform-positive, MUG-negative, MMO-MUG test may further analyze the culture for the presence of E. coli by transferring a 0.1 ml, 28-hour MMO-MUG culture to EC Medium + MUG with a pipet. The formulation and incubation conditions of EC Medium + MUG, and observation of the results are described in paragraph (f)(6)(i) of this section.

(8) The following materials are incorporated by reference in this section with the approval of the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies of the analytical methods cited in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (18th, 19th, and 20th editions) may be obtained from the American Public Health Association et al.; 1015 Fifteenth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005-2605. Copies of the MMO-MUG Test, as set forth in the article "National Field Evaluation of a Defined Substrate Method for the Simultaneous Enumeration of Total Coliforms and Escherichia coli from Drinking Water: Comparison with the Standard Multiple Tube Fermentation Method" (Edberg et al.) may be obtained from the American Water Works Association Research Foundation, 6666 West Quincy Avenue, Denver, CO 80235. Copies of the MMO-MUG Test as set forth in the article "National Field Evaluation of a Defined Substrate Method for the Simultaneous Enumeration of Total Coliforms and Escherichia coli from Drinking Water: Comparison with the Standard Multiple Tube Fermentation Method'' (Edberg et al.) may be obtained from the American Water Works Association Research Foundation, 6666 West Quincy Avenue, Denver, CO 80235. A description of the Colisure Test may be obtained from the Millipore Corp., Technical Services Department, 80 Ashby Road, Bedford, MA 01730. Copies may be inspected at EPA's Drinking Water Docket; 401 M St., SW.; Washington, DC 20460, or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/

ibr locations.html.

(g) Response to violation. (1) A public water system which has exceeded the MCL for total coliforms in §141.63 must report the violation to the State no later than the end of the next business day after it learns of the violation, and notify the public in accordance with subpart Q.

(2) A public water system which has failed to comply with a coliform monitoring requirement, including the sanitary survey requirement, must report the monitoring violation to the State within ten days after the system discovers the violation, and notify the public in accordance with subpart Q.

[54 FR 27562, June 29, 1989]

EDITORIAL NOTE: For FEDERAL REGISTER citations affecting §141.21, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and at www.fdsys.gov.

§ 141.23 Inorganic chemical sampling and analytical requirements.

Community water systems shall conduct monitoring to determine compliance with the maximum contaminant levels specified in §141.62 in accordance with this section. Non-transient, noncommunity water systems shall conduct monitoring to determine compliance with the maximum contaminant levels specified in §141.62 in accordance

with this section. Transient, non-community water systems shall conduct monitoring to determine compliance with the nitrate and nitrite maximum contaminant levels in §§141.11 and 141.62 (as appropriate) in accordance with this section.

- (a) Monitoring shall be conducted as follows:
- (1) Groundwater systems shall take a minimum of one sample at every entry point to the distribution system which is representative of each well after treatment (hereafter called a sampling point) beginning in the initial compliance period. The system shall take each sample at the same sampling point unless conditions make another sampling point more representative of each source or treatment plant.
- (2) Surface water systems shall take a minimum of one sample at every entry point to the distribution system after any application of treatment or in the distribution system at a point which is representative of each source after treatment (hereafter called a sampling point) beginning in the initial compliance period. The system shall take each sample at the same sampling point unless conditions make another sampling point more representative of each source or treatment plant.

NOTE: For purposes of this paragraph, surface water systems include systems with a combination of surface and ground sources.

- (3) If a system draws water from more than one source and the sources are combined before distribution, the system must sample at an entry point to the distribution system during periods of normal operating conditions (i.e., when water is representative of all sources being used).
- (4) The State may reduce the total number of samples which must be analyzed by allowing the use of compositing. Composite samples from a maximum of five samples are allowed, provided that the detection limit of the method used for analysis is less than one-fifth of the MCL. Compositing of samples must be done in the laboratory.
- (i) If the concentration in the composite sample is greater than or equal to one-fifth of the MCL of any inorganic chemical, then a follow-up sample must be taken within 14 days at each sampling point included in the composite. These samples must be analyzed for the contaminants which exceeded one-fifth of the MCL in the composite sample. Detection limits for each analytical method and MCLs for each inorganic contaminant are the following:

DETECTION LIMITS FOR INORGANIC CONTAMINANTS

Contaminant	MCL (mg/l)	Methodology	Detection limit (mg/l)
Antimony	0.006	Atomic Absorption; Furnace	0.003
		Atomic Absorption; Platform	0.00085
	į	ICP-Mass Spectrometry	0.0004
		Hydride-Atomic Absorption	0.001
Arsenic	0.0108	Atomic Absorption; Furnace	0.001
		Atomic Absorption; Platform—Stabilized Temperature	0.00057
		Atomic Absorption; Gaseous Hydride	0.001
		ICP-Mass Spectrometry	0.00148
Asbestos		Transmission Electron Microscopy	0.01 MFL
Barlum	2	Atomic Absorption; furnace technique	0.002
		Atomic Absorption; direct aspiration	0.1
		Inductively Coupled Plasma	0.002 (0.001)
Beryllium	0.004	Atomic Absorption; Furnace	0.0002
		Atomic Absorption; Platform	0.000025
		Inductively Coupled Plasma ²	0.0003
		ICP-Mass Spectrometry	0.0003
Cadmium	0.005	Atomic Absorption; furnace technique	0.0001
		Inductively Coupled Plasma	0.001
Chromium	0.1	Atomic Absorption; furnace technique	0.001
		Inductively Coupled Plasma	0.007 (0.001)
Cyanide	0.2	Distillation, Spectrophotometric ³	0.02
		Distillation, Automated, Spectrophotometric 3	0.005
		Distillation, Amenable, Spectrophotometric 4	0.02
	***************************************	Distillation, Selective Electrode ^{3, 4}	0.05
		UV, Distillation, Spectrophotometric9	0.0005
		Micro Distillation, Flow Injection, Spectrophotometric ³	0.0006
	l	Ligand Exchange with Amperometry 4	0.0005

DETECTION LIMITS FOR INORGANIC CONTAMINANTS—Continued

Contaminant	MCL (mg/l)	Methodology	Detection limit (mg/l)
Mercury	0.002	Manual Cold Vapor Technique	0.0002
-		Automated Cold Vapor Technique	0.0002
Nickel	xl	Atomic Absorption; Furnace	0.001
		Atomic Absorption; Platform	0.00065
		Inductively Coupled Plasma 2	
		ICP-Mass Spectrometry	0.0005
Nitrate	10 (as N)	Manual Cadmium Reduction	0.01
	1 ' '	Automated Hydrazine Reduction	0.01
		Automated Cadmium Reduction	0.05
		Ion Selective Electrode	1
	i	Ion Chromatography	0.01
		Capillary Ion Electrophoresis	
Vitrite	1 (as N)	Spectrophotometric	0.01
	1 ' '	Automated Cadmium Reduction	0.05
		Manual Cadmium Reduction	0.01
		Ion Chromatography	0.004
		Capillary Ion Electrophoresis	
Selenium	0.05	Atomic Absorption; furnace	0.002
		Atomic Absorption; gaseous hydride	
Thallium	0.002	Atomic Absorption; Furnace	0.001
]	Atomic Absorption; Platform	
	1	ICP-Mass Spectrometry	0.0003

- (ii) If the population served by the system is >3,300 persons, then compositing may only be permitted by the State at sampling points within a single system. In systems serving ≤3,300 persons, the State may permit compositing among different systems provided the 5-sample limit is maintained.
- (iii) If duplicates of the original sample taken from each sampling point used in the composite sample are available, the system may use these instead of resampling. The duplicates must be analyzed and the results reported to the State within 14 days after completing analysis of the composite sample, provided the holding time of the sample is not exceeded.
- (5) The frequency of monitoring for asbestos shall be in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section: the frequency of monitoring for antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, fluoride, mercury, nickel, selenium and thallium shall be in accordance with paragraph (c) of

- this section; the frequency of monitoring for nitrate shall be in accordance with paragraph (d) of this section; and the frequency of monitoring for nitrite shall be in accordance with paragraph (e) of this section.
- (b) The frequency of monitoring conducted to determine compliance with the maximum contaminant level for asbestos specified in §141.62(b) shall be conducted as follows:
- (1) Each community and non-transient, non-community water system is required to monitor for asbestos during the first three-year compliance period of each nine-year compliance cycle beginning in the compliance period starting January 1, 1993.
- (2) If the system believes it is not vulnerable to either asbestos contamination in its source water or due to corrosion of asbestos-cement pipe, or both, it may apply to the State for a waiver of the monitoring requirement in paragraph (b)(1) of this section. If the State grants the waiver, the system is not required to monitor.

MFL = million fibers per liter >10 μm.
 Using a 2X preconcentration step as noted in Method 200.7. Lower MDLs may be achieved when using a 4X preconcentration.
 Screening method for total cyanides.
 Measures "free" cyanides when distillation, digestion, or ligand exchange is omitted.
 Lower MDLs are reported using stabilized temperature graphite furnace atomic absorption.
 The value for arsenic is effective January 23, 2006. Unit then, the MCL is 0.05 mg/L.
 The MDL reported for EPA method 200.9 (Atomic Absorption; Platform—Stabilized Temperature) was determined using a 2x concentration step during sample digestion. The MDL determined for samples analyzed using direct analyses (i.e., no sample digestion) will be higher. Using multiple depositions, EPA 200.9 is capable of obtaining MDL of 0.0001 mg/L.
 Using selective ion monitoring, EPA Method 200.8 (ICP-MS) is capable of obtaining a MDL of 0.0001 mg/L.
 Measures total cyanides when UV-digestor is used, and "free" cyanides when UV-digestor is bypassed.

- (3) The State may grant a waiver based on a consideration of the following factors:
- (i) Potential asbestos contamination of the water source, and
- (ii) The use of asbestos-cement pipe for finished water distribution and the corrosive nature of the water.
- (4) A waiver remains in effect until the completion of the three-year compliance period. Systems not receiving a waiver must monitor in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (b)(1) of this section.
- (5) A system vulnerable to asbestos contamination due solely to corrosion of asbestos-cement pipe shall take one sample at a tap served by asbestos-cement pipe and under conditions where asbestos contamination is most likely to occur.
- (6) A system vulnerable to asbestos contamination due solely to source water shall monitor in accordance with the provision of paragraph (a) of this section.
- (7) A system vulnerable to asbestos contamination due both to its source water supply and corrosion of asbestoscement pipe shall take one sample at a tap served by asbestos-cement pipe and under conditions where asbestos contamination is most likely to occur.
- (8) A system which exceeds the maximum contaminant levels as determined in §141.23(i) of this section shall monitor quarterly beginning in the next quarter after the violation occurred.
- (9) The State may decrease the quarterly monitoring requirement to the frequency specified in paragraph (b)(1) of this section provided the State has determined that the system is reliably and consistently below the maximum contaminant level. In no case can a State make this determination unless a groundwater system takes a minimum of two quarterly samples and a surface (or combined surface/ground) water system takes a minimum of four quarterly samples.
- (10) If monitoring data collected after January 1, 1990 are generally consistent with the requirements of \$141.23(b), then the State may allow systems to use that data to satisfy the monitoring requirement for the initial

- compliance period beginning January 1, 1993.
- (c) The frequency of monitoring conducted to determine compliance with the maximum contaminant levels in §141.62 for antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, fluoride, mercury, nickel, selenium and thallium shall be as follows:
- (1) Groundwater systems shall take one sample at each sampling point during each compliance period. Surface water systems (or combined surface/ground) shall take one sample annually at each sampling point.
- (2) The system may apply to the State for a waiver from the monitoring frequencies specified in paragraph (c)(1) of this section. States may grant a public water system a waiver for monitoring of cyanide, provided that the State determines that the system is not vulnerable due to lack of any industrial source of cyanide.
- (3) A condition of the waiver shall require that a system shall take a minimum of one sample while the waiver is effective. The term during which the waiver is effective shall not exceed one compliance cycle (i.e., nine years).
- (4) The State may grant a waiver provided surface water systems have monitored annually for at least three years and groundwater systems have conducted a minimum of three rounds of monitoring. (At least one sample shall have been taken since January 1, 1990). Both surface and groundwater systems shall demonstrate that all previous analytical results were less than the maximum contaminant level. Systems that use a new water source are not eligible for a waiver until three rounds of monitoring from the new source have been completed.
- (5) In determining the appropriate reduced monitoring frequency, the State shall consider:
- (i) Reported concentrations from all previous monitoring;
- (ii) The degree of variation in reported concentrations; and
- (iii) Other factors which may affect contaminant concentrations such as changes in groundwater pumping rates, changes in the system's configuration, changes in the system's operating procedures, or changes in stream flows or characteristics.

- (6) A decision by the State to grant a waiver shall be made in writing and shall set forth the basis for the determination. The determination may be initiated by the State or upon an application by the public water system. The public water system shall specify the basis for its request. The State shall review and, where appropriate, revise its determination of the appropriate monitoring frequency when the system submits new monitoring data or when other data relevant to the system's appropriate monitoring frequency become available.
- (7) Systems which exceed the maximum contaminant levels as calculated in §141.23(i) of this section shall monitor quarterly beginning in the next quarter after the violation occurred.
- (8) The State may decrease the quarterly monitoring requirement to the frequencies specified in paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this section provided it has determined that the system is reliably and consistently below the maximum contaminant level. In no case can a State make this determination unless a groundwater system takes a minimum of two quarterly samples and a surface water system takes a minimum of four quarterly samples.
- (9) All new systems or systems that use a new source of water that begin operation after January 22, 2004 must demonstrate compliance with the MCL within a period of time specified by the State. The system must also comply with the initial sampling frequencies specified by the State to ensure a system can demonstrate compliance with the MCL. Routine and increased monitoring frequencies shall be conducted in accordance with the requirements in this section.
- (d) All public water systems (community; non-transient, non-community; and transient, non-community systems) shall monitor to determine compliance with the maximum contaminant level for nitrate in §141.62.
- (1) Community and non-transient, non-community water systems served by groundwater systems shall monitor annually beginning January 1, 1993; systems served by surface water shall monitor quarterly beginning January 1, 1993.

- (2) For community and non-transient, non-community water systems, the repeat monitoring frequency for groundwater systems shall be quarterly for at least one year following any one sample in which the concentration is ≥50 percent of the MCL. The State may allow a groundwater system to reduce the sampling frequency to annually after four consecutive quarterly samples are reliably and consistently less than the MCL.
- (3) For community and non-transient, non-community water systems, the State may allow a surface water system to reduce the sampling frequency to annually if all analytical results from four consecutive quarters are <50 percent of the MCL. A surface water system shall return to quarterly monitoring if any one sample is ≥50 percent of the MCL.
- (4) Each transient non-community water system shall monitor annually beginning January 1, 1993.
- (5) After the initial round of quarterly sampling is completed, each community and non-transient non-community system which is monitoring annually shall take subsequent samples during the quarter(s) which previously resulted in the highest analytical result.
- (e) All public water systems (community; non-transient, non-community; and transient, non-community systems) shall monitor to determine compliance with the maximum contaminant level for nitrite in §141.62(b).
- (1) All public water systems shall take one sample at each sampling point in the compliance period beginning January 1, 1993 and ending December 31, 1995.
- (2) After the initial sample, systems where an analytical result for nitrite is <50 percent of the MCL shall monitor at the frequency specified by the State.
- (3) For community, non-transient, non-community, and transient non-community water systems, the repeat monitoring frequency for any water system shall be quarterly for at least one year following any one sample in which the concentration is ≥50 percent of the MCL. The State may allow a system to reduce the sampling frequency to annually after determining the system is reliably and consistently less than the MCL.

- (4) Systems which are monitoring annually shall take each subsequent sample during the quarter(s) which previously resulted in the highest analytical result.
 - (f) Confirmation samples:
- (1) Where the results of sampling for antimony, arsenic, asbestos, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, fluoride, mercury, nickel, selenium or thallium indicate an exceedance of the maximum contaminant level, the State may require that one additional sample be collected as soon as possible after the initial sample was taken (but not to exceed two weeks) at the same sampling point.
- (2) Where nitrate or nitrite sampling results indicate an exceedance of the maximum contaminant level, the system shall take a confirmation sample within 24 hours of the system's receipt of notification of the analytical results of the first sample. Systems unable to comply with the 24-hour sampling requirement must immediately notify persons served by the public water system in accordance with §141.202 and meet other Tier 1 public notification requirements under Subpart Q of this part. Systems exercising this option must take and analyze a confirmation sample within two weeks of notification of the analytical results of the first sample.
- (3) If a State-required confirmation sample is taken for any contaminant, then the results of the initial and confirmation sample shall be averaged. The resulting average shall be used to determine the system's compliance in accordance with paragraph (i) of this section. States have the discretion to delete results of obvious sampling errors.
- (g) The State may require more frequent monitoring than specified in paragraphs (b), (c), (d) and (e) of this section or may require confirmation samples for positive and negative results at its discretion.
- (h) Systems may apply to the State to conduct more frequent monitoring than the minimum monitoring frequencies specified in this section.
- (i) Compliance with §§141.11 or 141.62(b) (as appropriate) shall be determined based on the analytical result(s) obtained at each sampling point.

- (1) For systems which are conducting monitoring at a frequency greater than annual, compliance with the maximum contaminant levels for antimony, arsenic, asbestos, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, fluoride, mercury, nickel, selenium or thallium is determined by a running annual average at any sampling point. If the average at any sampling point is greater than the MCL, then the system is out of compliance. If any one sample would cause the annual average to be exceeded, then the system is out of compliance immediately. Any sample below the method detection limit shall be calculated at zero for the purpose of determining the annual average. If a system fails to collect the required number of samples, compliance (average concentration) will be based on the total number of samples collected.
- (2) For systems which are monitoring annually, or less frequently, the system is out of compliance with the maximum contaminant levels for antimony, arsenic, asbestos, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, fluoride, mercury, nickel, selenium or thallium if the level of a contaminant is greater than the MCL. If confirmation samples are required by the State, the determination of compliance will be based on the annual average of the initial MCL exceedance and any Staterequired confirmation samples. If a system fails to collect the required number of samples, compliance (average concentration) will be based on the total number of samples collected.
- (3) Compliance with the maximum contaminant levels for nitrate and nitrate is determined based on one sample if the levels of these contaminants are below the MCLs. If the levels of nitrate and/or nitrite exceed the MCLs in the initial sample, a confirmation sample is required in accordance with paragraph (f)(2) of this section, and compliance shall be determined based on the average of the initial and confirmation samples.
- (4) Arsenic sampling results will be reported to the nearest 0.001 mg/L.
- (j) Each public water system shall monitor at the time designated by the State during each compliance period.
 - (k) Inorganic analysis:

Environmental Protection Agency

(1) Analysis for the following contaminants shall be conducted in accordance with the methods in the following table, or the alternative methods listed in appendix A to subpart C of this part, or their equivalent as determined by EPA. Criteria for analyzing arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, calcium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, selenium, sodium, and thallium

with digestion or directly without digestion, and other analytical test procedures are contained in *Technical Notes on Drinking Water Methods*, EPA-600/R-94-173, October 1994. This document is available from the National Service Center for Environmental Publications (NSCEP), P.O. Box 42419, Cincinnati, OH 45242-0419 or http://www.epa.gov/nscep/.

Contaminant	Methodology 13	ЕРА	ASTM³	SM ⁴ (18th, 19th ed.)	SM 4 (20th ed.)	SM Online 22	Other
1. Alkalinity	Titrimetric	200.82	D1067-92, 02 B	2320 B	2320 B	2320 B-97 -1030-85 ⁵ .	
	Spectrometry. Hydride-Atomic Absorp-		D3697-92, 02.				
	Atomic Absorption; Plat- form	200.92					
	Atomic Absorption; Fur-			3113 B		3113 B-99	
3. Arsenic ¹⁴	ICP-Mass Spectrometry Atomic Absorption; Plat-	200.82, 200.92,					
	Atomic Absorption; Fur-		D2972-97, 03 C	3113 B		3113 B-99.	
	Hydride Atomic Absorp-		D1972-97, 03 B	3114 B		3114 B-97.	
4. Asbestos	Transmission Electron	100.19		·			
	Microscopy. Transmission Electron	100.2 10					
5. Barium	Microscopy. Inductively Coupled	200.72		3120 B	3120 B	3120 B99.	
	Plasma ICP-Mass Spectrometry Atomic Absorption; Di-	200.82		3111D		3111 D-99.	
	rect. Atomic Absorption; Fur-			3113 B		3113 B-99.	
6. Beryllium	Inductively Coupled	200.72		3120 B	3120 B	3120 B-99.	
	ICP-Mass Spectrometry Atomic Absorption; Plat-	200.82 200.92					
	form. Atomic Absorption; Fur-		D3645-97, 03 B	3113 B		3113 B-99.	
7. Cadmium	Inductively Coupled	200.72					
_	Plasma. ICP-Mass Spectrometry Atomic Absorption; Platform.	200.8 ² 200.9 ²					

														I-3300-85 ⁵			Kelada-01 17	QuikChem	00-1-X ¹⁸ OIA-1677, DW ²⁰
3113 B-99.	97.	3111 B-99.	3120 B99.	3120 B-99.		3113 B-99.	3113 B-99.	3111 B-99.	3120 B-99.		2510 B-97.		4500-CN-	4500-CN-	<u>.</u>	4500-CN-			
3500-Ca B	:		3120 B	3120 B					3120 B		2510 B	4500-CN- C.	4500-CN- G	4500-CN- E		4500-CN- F			
3113 B	:	3111 B	3120 B	3120 B		3113 B	3113 B	3111 B	3120 B		2510 B	4500-CN- C	4500-CN- G	4500-CN-E		4500-CN- F			
D511-83. 03 A		D511-93, 03 B		D6919-03.			D1688-95, 02 C	D1688-95, 02 A			D1125-95 (Reapproved	D2036-98 A	D2036-98 B	D2036-98 A					D6888-04
			200.72	200.72	200.8 ² 200.9 ²				200.72	200.8 ² 200.9 ²		***************************************			335.46				
Atomic Absorption; Fur- nace. EDTA titrimetric		Atomic Absorption; Di-	Inductively Coupled Plasma.	lon Chromatography Inductively Coupled	ICP-Mass Spectrometry Atomic Absorption; Plat-	Atomic Absorption; Fur-	Atomic Absorption; Fur-	Atomic Absorption; Di-	Inductively Coupled	ICP-Mass spectrometry Atomic Absorption; Plat-	rorm. Conductance	Manual Distillation fol-	Spectrophotometric,	Spectro-photometric	Spectro-photometric	Selective Electrode	UV, Distillation,	Micro Distillation, Flow	Spectrophotometric. Ligand Exchange and Amperometry ²¹ ,
8. Calcium				9. Chromium			10. Copper				11. Conductivity	12. Cyanide							

ASTM3 D4327-97, 03
D1179–93, 99 B

200.72 D511–93, 03 B
20,00
245.12 D3223-97, 02
=
D4327–97, 03
353.2 ° D3867-90 A

D6508, Rev. 2 23 B-10118		D6508, Rev. 2 ²³	L-1601-85 ⁶	l-2601-905	I-2598-85 ⁵	D6508, Rev. 2 ²³			I-1700-855	L-2700-855	
4500-NO ₃ - E-00. 4110 B-00	4500NO ₃ - F-00. 4500-NO ₃ - E-00. 4500-NO ₂ -				4110 B-00.	4500-H+ B-	00. 3114 B–97.		3113 B-99.		4500-SiO ₂ C-97.
4500-NO ₃ - E. 4110 B	4500-NO ₃ - F 4500-NO ₃ - 4500-NO ₂ -	4500-P F.			4110 B	4500-H+ B					
4500–NO ₃ – E. 4110 B	4500-NO ₃ - F 4500-NO ₃ - E. 4500-NO ₂ -	4500-P F			4110 B	4500-H+ B	3114 B		3138		4500-Si D
D3867-90 B	D3867–90 A	D515-88 A			D4327-97, 03	D1293-95, 99	D3859-98, 03 A				D859-94, 00. 4500-Si D 4500-SiO ₂ C
300.00	363.2ª	365.1 6		***************************************	300.0 °	150.1, 150.21		200.8 ² 200.9 ²			
Manual Cadmium Reduction. Capillary Ion Electrophoresis. Ion Chromatography	Automated Cadmium Reduction. Manual Cadmium Reduction. Spectrophotometric	Capillary Ion Electro- phoresis. Colorimetric, Automated, Ascorbic Acid.	Colorimetric Phosphomolybdate:	Automated-seg- mented flow:	Automated Discrete Ion Chromatography	Capillary Ion Electro- phoresis. Electrometric	Hydride-Atomic Absorp-	ICP-Mass Spectrometry Atomic Absorption; Plat- form.	Atomic Absorption; Fur- nace. Colorimetric, Molybdate	Automated-seg-	Colorimetric
19. Nitrite		20. Ortho-phosphate 12				21. pH	22. Selenium		23. Silica		

ne 22 Other)2)₂ E−			. 39.			
SM Online ²²	4500-SiC	4500-SIO ₂ E-	3120 B-99.		3111 B-99.	2550-00.		
SM4 (20th ed.)	4500-Si E 4500-SiO ₂ D 4500-SiO ₂		3120 B		3111 B	2550		
SM ⁴ (18th, 19th ed.)		4500-Si F	3120 B		3111 B	2550		
ASTM3	Heteropoly blue	4500-SIO _E E	200.7° 3120 B 3120 B			on Chromatography D6919–03.		
EPA	***************************************		200.72	200.72			200.8 ² 200.9 ² .	
Methodology 13	Heteropoly blue	Automated for Molyb-	date-reactive Silica. Inductively Coupled Plasma	Inductively Coupled	Plasma. Atomic Absorption; Di- rect Asniration			form.
Contaminant				24. Sodium		25. Temperature	26, Thallium	

The procedures shall be done in accordance with the documents listed below. The incorporation by reference of the following documents isled in floorinoise 1-11, 16-20, and 22-23 was approcedures shall be done in accordance with the Sac Design of the Sac Design of the Governments may be abilitied to the Sac Design of the Sac Design of

19 The description for Method Number 1001 for lead is available from Palintest, LTD, 21 Kennon Lands Road, P.O. Box 1839s, Enanger, KY 41018. Of from the Hach Company, P.O. Box 389.

10 The description for the Kelada-01 Method, "Kelada Automatled Test Methods for Total Cyanide, And Dissociable Cyanide, And Thicoyenate," Revision 12, August 2001, EPA # 821—8—1—009 tor oyanide is available from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), P8 2001—108275, 5286 Fort Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. The lot free telephone number is 800-553—8847. Note: A 452-W VU Vamp may be used in this method in stellar of the Company of the Cyanides performance within the quality control (O.C.) acceptance oriteria of the method in a given instrument. Similarly, modified flow cell configurations and flow conditions may be used in the method, provided that the Cd. acceptance oriteria are met. In The Cascidan Method 12-204-00—1-4. "Disposition and stellar companies and flow conditions may be used in the method, provided that the Cd. acceptance oriteria are met. In The Determination of Organic and inorganic Compounds in Orlinking witer, Will Rd. Milwautes, Will S2218. Telephone: 414-338-4200.

19 "Methods for the Determination of Organic Compounds in Dinking Water," Vol. 1, EPA 815-R-00-014, August 2000. Available from ALPKEM, A Division of OI Analytica), P.O. Box 9010, College Station, TX 77422-9010.

21 Sulfide levels below those detected using lead aceitate paper may produce positive method interferences. Test samples coordingly.

22 Standard Method Chrimes are available at http://www.standardmethods.org. The year in which each method was approved by the Standard Methods Committee is designated by the last May, Organic are the only online versions that may be used.

22 Method Discoults are the only online versions that may be used.

23 Method Discoults are the only online versions that may be used.

24 Maples St. Mallout, MA, 01757, Telephone: 508/482-2131, Fax: 508/482-2825.

(2) Sample collection for antimony, arsenic, asbestos, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, fluoride, mercury, nickel, nitrate, nitrite, selenium, and thallium under this section shall be conducted using the sample preservation, container, and maximum holding time procedures specified in the table below:

Contaminant	Preservative 1	Con- tainer ²	Time ³
Antimony	HNO3	P or G	6 months
Arsenic	Conc HNO₃ to pH <2.	P or G	6 months
Asbestos	4 °C	P or G	48 hours 4
Barium	HNO3	P or G	6 months
Beryllium	HNO3	P or G	6 months
Cadmium	HNO3	P or G	6 months
Chromium	HNO3	PorG	6 months
Cyanide	4 °C, NaOH	Por G	14 days
Fluoride	None	P or G	1 month
Mercury	HNO3	P or G	28 days
Nickel	HNO3	P or G	6 months
Nitrate	4 °C	P or G	48 hours 5
Nitrate-Nitrite 6	H2SO4	Por G	28 days
Nitrite	4°C	Por G	48 hours
Selenium	HNO3	Por G	6 months
Thallium	HNO3	Por G	6 months

¹ For cyanide determinations samples must be adjusted with sodium hydroxide to pH 12 at the time off collection. When chilling is indicated the sample must be shipped and stored at 4 °C or less. Acidification of nitrate or metals samples may be with a concentrated acid or a dilute (50% by volume) solution of the applicable concentrated acid. Acidification of samples for metals analysis is encouraged and allowed at the laboratory rather than at the time of sampling provided the shipping time and other instructions in Section 8.3 of EPA Methods 200.7 or 200.8 or 200.9 are followed.

² P=plastic, hard or soft; G=glass, hard or soft.

³ In all cases samples should be analyzed as soon after collection as possible. Follow additional (if any) information on preservation, containers or holding times that is specified in method.

metrico.

4 Instructions for containers, preservation procedures and holding times as specified in Method 100.2 must be adhered to for all compliance analyses including those conducted with

5 If the sample is chlorinated, the holding time for an unacidified sample kept at 4 °C is extended to 14 days. 6 Nitrate-Nitrite refers to a measurement of total nitrate.

(3) Analysis under this section shall only be conducted by laboratories that have been certified by EPA or the State. Laboratories may conduct sample analysis under provisional certification until January 1, 1996. To receive certification to conduct analyses for antimony, arsenic, asbestos, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, fluoride, mercury, nickel, nitrate, nitrite and selenium and thallium, the laboratory must:

(i) Analyze Performance Evaluation (PE) samples provided by EPA, the State or by a third party (with the approval of the State or EPA) at least once a year.

(ii) For each contaminant that has been included in the PE sample and for each method for which the laboratory desires certification achieve quantitative results on the analyses that are within the following acceptance

Contaminant	Acceptance limit
Antimony	±30 at ≥0.006 mg/1
Arsenic	±30 at ≥0.003 mg/L
Asbestos	2 standard deviations based
	on study statistics.
Barium	±15% at ≥0.15 mg/1
Beryllium	±15% at ≥0.001 mg/1
Cadmium	±20% at ≥0.002 mg/1
Chromium	±15% at ≥0.01 mg/1
Cyanide	±25% at ≥0.1 mg/1
Fluoride	±10% at ≥1 to 10 mg/1
Mercury	±30% at ≥0.0005 mg/1
Nickel	±15% at ≥0.01 mg/1
Nitrate	±10% at ≥0.4 mg/1
Nitrite	±15% at ≥0.4 mg/1
Selenium	±20% at ≥0.01 mg/1
Thallium	±30% at ≥0.002 mg/1

(1) Analyses for the purpose of determining compliance with §141.11 shall be conducted using the requirements specified in paragraphs (1) through (q) of this section.

(1) Analyses for all community water systems utilizing surface water sources shall be completed by June 24, 1978. These analyses shall be repeated at yearly intervals.

(2) Analyses for all community water systems utilizing only ground water sources shall be completed by June 24, 1979. These analyses shall be repeated at three-year intervals.

(3) For non-community water systems, whether supplied by surface or ground sources, analyses for nitrate shall be completed by December 24, 1980. These analyses shall be repeated at intervals determined by the State.

(4) The State has the authority to determine compliance or initiate enforcement action based upon analytical results and other information compiled by their sanctioned representatives and agencies.

(m) If the result of an analysis made under paragraph (1) of this section indicates that the level of any contaminant listed in §141.11 exceeds the maximum contaminant level, the supplier of the water shall report to the State within 7 days and initiate three additional analyses at the same sampling point within one month.

Environmental Protection Agency

(n) When the average of four analyses made pursuant to paragraph (m) of this section, rounded to the same number of significant figures as the maximum contaminant level for the substance in question, exceeds the maximum contaminant level, the supplier of water shall notify the State pursuant to §141.31 and give notice to the public pursuant to subpart Q. Monitoring after public notification shall be at a frequency designated by the State and shall continue until the maximum contaminant level has not been exceeded in two successive samples or until a monitoring schedule as a condition to a variance, exemption or enforcement action shall become effective.

(o) The provisions of paragraphs (m) and (n) of this section notwithstanding, compliance with the maximum contaminant level for nitrate shall be determined on the basis of the mean of two analyses. When a level exceeding the maximum contaminant level for nitrate is found, a second analysis shall be initiated within 24 hours, and if the mean of the two analyses exceeds the maximum contaminant level, the supplier of water shall report his findings to the State pursuant to §141.31 and shall notify the public pursuant to subpart Q.

(p) For the initial analyses required by paragraph (l) (1), (2) or (3) of this section, data for surface waters acquired within one year prior to the effective date and data for ground waters acquired within 3 years prior to the effective date of this part may be substituted at the discretion of the State. (q) [Reserved]

[56 FR 3579, Jan. 30, 1991]

EDITORIAL NOTE: For FEDERAL REGISTER citations affecting §141.23, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and at www.fdsys.gov.

§141.24 Organic chemicals, sampling and analytical requirements.

(a)-(d) [Reserved]

(e) Analyses for the contaminants in this section shall be conducted using the methods listed in the following table, or the alternative methods listed in appendix A to subpart C of this part, or their equivalent as determined by EPA.

(1) The following documents are incorporated by reference. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be inspected at EPA's Drinking Water Docket, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., EPA West, Room 3334, Washington, DC 20460 (Telephone: 202-566-2426); or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, orto: http:// go www.archives.gov/federal register/ code_of_federal_regulations/ locations.html. Method 508A and 515.1 are in Methods for the Determination of Organic Compounds in Drinking Water, EPA/600/4-88-039, December 1988, Revised, July 1991. Methods 547, 550 and 550.1 are in Methods for the Determination of Organic Compounds in Drinking Water—Supplement I, EPA/600-4-90-020, July 1990. Methods 548.1, 549.1, 552.1 and 555 are in Methods for the Determination of Organic Compounds in Drinking Water-Supplement II, EPA/600/R-92-129, August 1992. Methods 502.2, 504.1, 505, 506, 507, 508, 508.1, 515.2, 524.2 525.2, 531.1, 551.1 and 552.2 are in Methods for the Determination of Organic Compounds in Drinking Water-Supplement III, EPA/ 600/R-95-131, August 1995. Method 1613 "Tetra-through Octatitled Chlorinated Dioxins and Furans by Isotope-Dilution HRGC/HRMS," EPA/821-B-94-005, October 1994. These documents are available from the National Technical Information Service, NTIS PB91-231480, PB91-146027, PB92-207703, PB95-261616 and PB95-104774, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161. The toll free number is: 800-553-6847. Method 6651 shall be followed in accordance with Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 18th edition (1992), 19th edition (1995), or 20th edition (1998), American Public Health Association (APHA); any of these three editions may be used. Method 6610 shall be followed in accordance with Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, (18th Edition Supplement) (1994), or with the 19th edition (1995) or 20th edition (1998) of Standard Methods for the

Examination of Water and Wastewater;

any of these publications may be used. The APHA documents are available from APHA, 1015 Fifteenth Street NW., Washington, DC 20005, Other required analytical test procedures germane to the conduct of these analyses are contained in Technical Notes on Drinking Water Methods, EPA/600/R-94-173, October 1994, NTIS PB95-104766. EPA Methods 515.3 and 549.2 are available from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Exposure Research Laboratory (NERL)-Cincinnati, 26 West Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45268. ASTM Method D 5317-93, 98 (Reapproved 2003) is available in the Annual Book of ASTM Standards, (1999), Vol. 11.02, ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Barr Harbor Drive, Conshohocken, PA 19428, any edition containing the cited version of the method may be used. EPA Method 515.4, "Determination of Chlorinated Acids in Drinking Water by Liquid-Liquid Microextraction, Derivatization and Fast Gas Chromatography with

Electron Capture Detection," Revision 1.0, April 2000, EPA/815/B-00/001 and EPA Method 552.3, "Determination of Haloacetic Acids and Dalapon in Drinking Water by Liquid-Liquid Microextraction, Derivatization, and Gas Chromatography with Electron Capture Detection," Revision 1.0, July 2003, EPA 815-B-03-002, can be accessed and downloaded directly online at http://www.epa.gov/safewater/methods/ sourcalt.html. Syngenta Method AG-625, "Atrazine in Drinking Water by Immunoassay," February 2001, is available from Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc., 410 Swing Road, P.O. Box 18300, Greensboro, NC 27419. Telephone: 336-632-6000, Method 531.2 "Measurement of N-methylcarbamoyloximes and methylcarbamates in Water by Direct Injection HPLC Aqueous Postcolumn Derivatization," Revision 1.0, September 2001, EPA 815-B-01-002, can be accessed and downloaded directly online at http://www.epa.gov/ safewater/methods/sourcalt.html.

Contaminant	EPA method	Standard methods	ASTM	Other
1. Benzene	502.2, 524.2.			
2. Carbon tetrachloride	502.2, 524.2, 551.1.	l		
3. Chlorobenzene	502.2, 524.2.	l		
4. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	502.2, 524.2.	l		ŀ
5. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene				
6. 1,2-Dichloroethane	502.2, 524.2.			
7. cis-Dichloroethylene				
8. trans-Dichloroethylene	502.2, 524.2.			
9. Dichloromethane				
10. 1,2-Dichloropropane	502.2, 524.2.			
11. Ethylbenzene	502.2, 524.2.			
12. Styrene				
13. Tetrachloroethylene	502.2, 524.2, 551.1.	ļ		
14. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane		ł		
15. Trichloroethylene			ļ	
16. Toluene	502.2, 524.2.			
17. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	502.2, 524.2.			
18. 1,1-Dichloroethylene	502.2, 524.2.			
19. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	502.2, 524.2, 551.1.			
20. Vinyl chloride	502.2, 524.2.			ļ
21. Xylenes (total)	502.2, 524.2.			
22. 2,3,7,8-TCDD (dioxin)	1613.			
23. 2,4-D4 (as acids, salts, and esters)	515.2, 555, 515.1, 515.3, 516.4		D5317-93,	
			98 (Re-	
			ap-	
			proved	
			2003).	
24. 2,4,5-TP4 (Silvex)	515.2, 555, 515.1, 515.3, 515.4	***	D5317-93,	}
			98 (Re-	į
			ар-	
			proved	
		1	2003).	
25. Alachior ²	507, 525.2, 508.1, 505, 551.1.		· ·	
26. Atrazine 2	507, 525.2, 508.1, 505, 551.1			Syngenta 5
				AG-625
27. Benzo(a)pyrene	525.2, 550, 550.1.			
28. Carbofuran	531.1, 531.2	6610		
29. Chlordana	508, 525.2, 508.1, 505.	-3077		
30. Dalapon	552.1 515.1, 552.2, 515.3, 515.4, 552.3.	Į.	I	

Environmental Protection Agency

Contaminant	EPA method	Standard methods	ASTM	Other
32. Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate 33. Dibromochioropropane (DBCP) 34. Dinoseb 4 35. Diquat 36. Endothall 37. Endrin 38. Ethylene dibromide (EDB) 39. Gilyphosate 40. Heptachlor 41. Heptachlor Epoxide 42. Hexachlorobenzene 43. Hexachloroborpopane 44. Lindane 45. Methoxychlor 46. Oxarryl 47. PCBs³ (as decachlorobiphenyl) 48. PCBs³ (as Aroclors) 49. Pentachlorophenol	506, 525.2. 506, 525.2. 504,1, 551.1. 515.2, 555, 515.1, 515.3, 515.4. 549.2. 548.1. 504.1, 651.1. 504.1, 651.1. 504.508, 525.2, 508.1, 505, 551.1. 508, 525.2, 508.1, 505, 551.1. 508, 525.2, 508.1, 505, 551.1. 508, 525.2, 508.1, 505, 551.1. 508, 525.2, 508.1, 505, 551.1. 508, 525.2, 508.1, 505, 551.1. 508, 525.2, 508.1, 505, 551.1. 508, 525.2, 508.1, 505, 551.1. 508, 525.2, 508.1, 505, 551.1. 508, 525.2, 508.1, 505, 551.1. 508.1, 531.2 508A. 508.1, 508, 525.2, 505. 515.2, 525.2, 555, 515.1, 515.3, 515.4		D5317-93, 98 (Re- ap- proved 2003). D5317-93, 98 (Re- ap- proved	
52. Toxaphene	507, 525.2, 508.1, 505, 551.1. 508, 508.1, 525.2, 505. 502.2, 524.2, 551.1.		2003).	

(2) [Reserved]

- (f) Beginning with the initial compliance period, analysis of the contaminants listed in §141.61(a) (1) through (21) for the purpose of determining compliance with the maximum contaminant level shall be conducted as follows:
- (1) Groundwater systems shall take a minimum of one sample at every entry point to the distribution system which is representative of each well after treatment (hereafter called a sampling point). Each sample must be taken at the same sampling point unless conditions make another sampling point more representative of each source, treatment plant, or within the distribution system.
- (2) Surface water systems (or combined surface/ground) shall take a minimum of one sample at points in the distribution system that are representative of each source or at each entry point to the distribution system after treatment (hereafter called a sampling point). Each sample must be taken at the same sampling point unless conditions make another sampling point more representative of each source, treatment plant, or within the distribution system.
- (3) If the system draws water from more than one source and the sources are combined before distribution, the system must sample at an entry point to the distribution system during periods of normal operating conditions

¹ [Reserved]

Substitution of the detector specified in Method 505, 507, 508 or 508.1 for the purpose of achieving lower detection limits is allowed as follows. Either an electron capture or nitrogen phosphorous detector may be used provided all regulatory requirements and quality control criteria are met.

PCBs are qualitatively identified as Arociors and measured for compliance purposes as decachlorobiphenyl. Users of Method 505 may have more difficulty in achieving the required detection limits than users of Methods 508.1, 525.2 or 508.

Accurate determination of the chlorinated esters requires hydrolysis of the sample as described in EPA Methods 515.1, 515.2, 515.3, 515.4 and 555 and ASTM Method D6317–93.

This method may not be used for the analysis of atrazine in any system where chlorine dioxide is used for drinking water treatment. In samples from all other systems, any result for atrazine generated by Method AG-625 that is greater than one-half the maximum contaminant level (MCL) (in other words, greater than 0.0015mg/t. or 1,5 µg/L), must be confirmed using another approved method for this contaminant and should use additional volume of the original sample collected for compliance monitoring. In instances where a result from Method AG-625 triggers such confirmatory testing, the confirmatory result is to be used to determine compliance.

- (i.e., when water representative of all sources is being used).
- (4) Each community and non-transient non-community water system shall take four consecutive quarterly samples for each contaminant listed in \$141.61(a)\$ (2) through (21) during each compliance period, beginning in the initial compliance period.
- (5) If the initial monitoring for contaminants listed in §141.61(a) (1) through (8) and the monitoring for the contaminants listed in §141.61(a) (9) through (21) as allowed in paragraph (f)(18) has been completed by December 31, 1992, and the system did not detect any contaminant listed in §141.61(a) (1) through (21), then each ground and surface water system shall take one sample annually beginning with the initial compliance period.
- (6) After a minimum of three years of annual sampling, the State may allow groundwater systems with no previous detection of any containmant listed in §141.61(a) to take one sample during each compliance period.
- (7) Each community and non-transient non-community ground water system which does not detect a contaminant listed in §141.61(a) (1) through (21) may apply to the State for a waiver from the requirements of paragraphs (f)(5) and (f)(6) of this section after completing the initial monitoring. (For purposes of this section, detection is defined as ≥0.0005 mg/l.) A waiver shall be effective for no more than six years (two compliance periods). States may also issue waivers to small systems for the initial round of monitoring for 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene.
- (8) A State may grant a waiver after evaluating the following factor(s):
- (i) Knowledge of previous use (including transport, storage, or disposal) of the contaminant within the watershed or zone of influence of the system. If a determination by the State reveals no previous use of the contaminant within the watershed or zone of influence, a waiver may be granted.
- (ii) If previous use of the contaminant is unknown or it has been used previously, then the following factors shall be used to determine whether a waiver is granted.
 - (A) Previous analytical results.

- (B) The proximity of the system to a potential point or non-point source of contamination. Point sources include spills and leaks of chemicals at or near a water treatment facility or at manufacturing, distribution, or storage facilities, or from hazardous and municipal waste landfills and other waste handling or treatment facilities.
- (C) The environmental persistence and transport of the contaminants.
- (D) The number of persons served by the public water system and the proximity of a smaller system to a larger system.
- (E) How well the water source is protected against contamination, such as whether it is a surface or groundwater system. Groundwater systems must consider factors such as depth of the well, the type of soil, and wellhead protection. Surface water systems must consider watershed protection.
- (9) As a condition of the waiver a groundwater system must take one sample at each sampling point during the time the waiver is effective (i.e., one sample during two compliance periods or six years) and update its vulnerability assessment considering the factors listed in paragraph (f)(8) of this section. Based on this vulnerability assessment the State must reconfirm that the system is non-vulnerable. If the State does not make this reconfirmation within three years of the initial determination, then the waiver is invalidated and the system is required to sample annually as specified in paragraph (5) of this section.
- (10) Each community and non-transient non-community surface water system which does not detect a contaminant listed in §141.61(a) (1) through (21) may apply to the State for a waiver from the requirements of (f)(5) of this section after completing the initial monitoring. Composite samples from a maximum of five sampling points are allowed, provided that the detection limit of the method used for analysis is less than one-fifth of the MCL. Systems meeting this criterion must be determined by the State to be non-vulnerable based on a vulnerability assessment during each compliance period. Each system receiving a waiver shall sample at the frequency specified by the State (if any).

- (11) If a contaminant listed in §141.61(a) (2) through (21) is detected at a level exceeding 0.0005 mg/l in any sample, then:
- (i) The system must monitor quarterly at each sampling point which resulted in a detection.
- (ii) The State may decrease the quarterly monitoring requirement specified in paragraph (f)(11)(i) of this section provided it has determined that the system is reliably and consistently below the maximum contaminant level. In no case shall the State make this determination unless a groundwater system takes a minimum of two quarterly samples and a surface water system takes a minimum of four quarterly samples.
- (iii) If the State determines that the system is reliably and consistently below the MCL, the State may allow the system to monitor annually. Systems which monitor annually must monitor during the quarter(s) which previously yielded the highest analytical result.
- (iv) Systems which have three consecutive annual samples with no detection of a contaminant may apply to the State for a waiver as specified in paragraph (f)(7) of this section.
- (v) Groundwater systems which have detected one or more of the following two-carbon organic compounds: trichloroethylene, tetrachloroethylene, 1,2-dichloroethane, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, cis-1,2-dichloroethylene, trans-1.2-dichloroethylene. ordichloroethylene shall monitor quarterly for vinyl chloride. A vinyl chloride sample shall be taken at each sampling point at which one or more of the two-carbon organic compounds was detected. If the results of the first analysis do not detect vinyl chloride, the State may reduce the quarterly monitoring frequency of vinyl chloride monitoring to one sample during each compliance period. Surface water systems are required to monitor for vinyl chloride as specified by the State.
- (12) Systems which violate the requirements of §141.61(a) (1) through (21), as determined by paragraph (f)(15) of this section, must monitor quarterly. After a minimum of four consecutive quarterly samples which show the system is in compliance as speci-

- fied in paragraph (f)(15) of this section the system and the State determines that the system is reliably and consistently below the maximum contaminant level, the system may monitor at the frequency and times specified in paragraph (f)(11)(iii) of this section.
- (13) The State may require a confirmation sample for positive or negative results. If a confirmation sample is required by the State, the result must be averaged with the first sampling result and the average is used for the compliance determination as specified by paragraph (f)(15). States have discretion to delete results of obvious sampling errors from this calculation.
- (14) The State may reduce the total number of samples a system must analyze by allowing the use of compositing. Composite samples from a maximum of five sampling points are allowed, provided that the detection limit of the method used for analysis is less than one-fifth of the MCL. Compositing of samples must be done in the laboratory and analyzed within 14 days of sample collection.
- (i) If the concentration in the composite sample is greater than or equal to 0.0005 mg/l for any contaminant listed in §141.61(a), then a follow-up sample must be taken within 14 days at each sampling point included in the composite, and be analyzed for that contaminant.
- (ii) If duplicates of the original sample taken from each sampling point used in the composite sample are available, the system may use these instead of resampling. The duplicates must be analyzed and the results reported to the State within 14 days after completing analysis of the composite sample, provided the holding time of the sample is not exceeded.
- (iii) If the population served by the system is > 3,300 persons, then compositing may only be permitted by the State at sampling points within a single system. In systems serving ≤ 3,300 persons, the State may permit compositing among different systems provided the 5-sample limit is maintained.
- (iv) Compositing samples prior to GC analysis.

- (A) Add 5 ml or equal larger amounts of each sample (up to 5 samples are allowed) to a 25 ml glass syringe. Special precautions must be made to maintain zero headspace in the syringe.
- (B) The samples must be cooled at 4 °C during this step to minimize volatilization losses.
- (C) Mix well and draw out a 5-ml aliquot for analysis.
- (D) Follow sample introduction, purging, and desorption steps described in the method.
- (E) If less than five samples are used for compositing, a proportionately small syringe may be used.
- (v) Compositing samples prior to GC/MS analysis.
- (A) Inject 5-ml or equal larger amounts of each aqueous sample (up to 5 samples are allowed) into a 25-ml purging device using the sample introduction technique described in the method.
- (B) The total volume of the sample in the purging device must be 25 ml.
- (C) Purge and desorb as described in the method.
- (15) Compliance with §141.61(a) (1) through (21) shall be determined based on the analytical results obtained at each sampling point. If one sampling point is in violation of an MCL, the system is in violation of the MCL.
- (i) For systems monitoring more than once per year, compliance with the MCL is determined by a running annual average at each sampling point.
- (ii) Systems monitoring annually or less frequently whose sample result exceeds the MCL must begin quarterly sampling. The system will not be considered in violation of the MCL until it has completed one year of quarterly sampling.
- (iii) If any sample result will cause the running annual average to exceed the MCL at any sampling point, the system is out of compliance with the MCL immediately.
- (iv) If a system fails to collect the required number of samples, compliance will be based on the total number of samples collected.
- (v) If a sample result is less than the detection limit, zero will be used to calculate the annual average.
 - (16) [Reserved]

- (17) Analysis under this section shall only be conducted by laboratories that are certified by EPA or the State according to the following conditions (laboratories may conduct sample analysis under provisional certification until January 1, 1996):
- (i) To receive certification to conduct analyses for the contaminants in §141.61(a) (2) through (21) the laboratory must:
- (A) Analyze Performance Evaluation (PE) samples provided by EPA, the State, or by a third party (with the approval of the State or EPA) at least once a year by each method for which the laboratory desires certification.
- (B) Achieve the quantitative acceptance limits under paragraphs (f)(17)(i)(C) and (D) of this section for at least 80 percent of the regulated organic contaminants included in the PE sample.
- (C) Achieve quantitative results on the analyses performed under paragraph (f)(17)(i)(A) of this section that are within ±20% of the actual amount of the substances in the Performance Evaluation sample when the actual amount is greater than or equal to 0.010 mg/l.
- (D) Achieve quantitative results on the analyses performed under paragraph (f)(17)(i)(A) of this section that are within ±40 percent of the actual amount of the substances in the Performance Evaluation sample when the actual amount is less than 0.010 mg/l.
- (E) Achieve a method detection limit of 0.0005 mg/l, according to the procedures in appendix B of part 136.
- (ii) To receive certification to conduct analyses for vinyl chloride, the laboratory must:
- (A) Analyze Performance Evaluation (PE) samples provided by EPA, the State, or by a third party (with the approval of the State or EPA) at least once a year by each method for which the laboratory desires certification.
- (B) Achieve quantitative results on the analyses performed under paragraph (f)(17)(ii)(A) of this section that are within ±40 percent of the actual amount of vinyl chloride in the Performance Evaluation sample.
- (C) Achieve a method detection limit of 0.0005 mg/l, according to the procedures in appendix B of part 136.

(D) Obtain certification for the contaminants listed in §141.61(a)(2) through (21).

(18) States may allow the use of monitoring data collected after January 1. 1988, required under section 1445 of the Act for purposes of initial monitoring compliance. If the data are generally consistent with the other requirements of this section, the State may use these data (i.e., a single sample rather than four quarterly samples) to satisfy the initial monitoring requirement of paragraph (f)(4) of this section. Systems which use grandfathered samples and did not detect any contaminant listed §141.61(a)(2) through (21) shall begin monitoring annually in accordance with paragraph (f)(5) of this section beginning with the initial compliance period.

- (19) States may increase required monitoring where necessary to detect variations within the system.
- (20) Each certified laboratory must determine the method detection limit (MDL), as defined in appendix B to part 136, at which it is capable of detecting VOCs. The acceptable MDL is 0.0005 mg/l. This concentration is the detection concentration for purposes of this section.
- (21) Each public water system shall monitor at the time designated by the State within each compliance period.
- (22) All new systems or systems that use a new source of water that begin operation after January 22, 2004 must demonstrate compliance with the MCL within a period of time specified by the State. The system must also comply with the initial sampling frequencies specified by the State to ensure a system can demonstrate compliance with the MCL. Routine and increased monitoring frequencies shall be conducted in accordance with the requirements in this section.
 - (g) [Reserved]
- (h) Analysis of the contaminants listed in §141.61(c) for the purposes of determining compliance with the maximum contaminant level shall be conducted as follows, with the exception that no monitoring is required for aldicarb, aldicarb sulfoxide or aldicarb sulfoxe:
- Groundwater systems shall take a minimum of one sample at every entry

point to the distribution system which is representative of each well after treatment (hereafter called a sampling point). Each sample must be taken at the same sampling point unless conditions make another sampling point more representative of each source or treatment plant.

(2) Surface water systems shall take a minimum of one sample at points in the distribution system that are representative of each source or at each entry point to the distribution system after treatment (hereafter called a sampling point). Each sample must be taken at the same sampling point unless conditions make another sampling point more representative of each source or treatment plant.

NOTE: For purposes of this paragraph, surface water systems include systems with a combination of surface and ground sources.

- (3) If the system draws water from more than one source and the sources are combined before distribution, the system must sample at an entry point to the distribution system during periods of normal operating conditions (i.e., when water representative of all sources is being used).
- (4) Monitoring frequency: (i) Each community and non-transient non-community water system shall take four consecutive quarterly samples for each contaminant listed in §141.61(c) during each compliance period beginning with the initial compliance period.
- (ii) Systems serving more than 3,300 persons which do not detect a contaminant in the initial compliance period may reduce the sampling frequency to a minimum of two quarterly samples in one year during each repeat compliance period.
- (iii) Systems serving less than or equal to 3,300 persons which do not detect a contaminant in the initial compliance period may reduce the sampling frequency to a minimum of one sample during each repeat compliance period.
- (5) Each community and non-transient water system may apply to the State for a waiver from the requirement of paragraph (h)(4) of this section. A system must reapply for a waiver for each compliance period.

- (6) A State may grant a waiver after evaluating the following factor(s): Knowledge of previous use (including transport, storage, or disposal) of the contaminant within the watershed or zone of influence of the system. If a determination by the State reveals no previous use of the contaminant within the watershed or zone of influence, a waiver may be granted. If previous use of the contaminant is unknown or it has been used previously, then the following factors shall be used to determine whether a waiver is granted.
 - (i) Previous analytical results.
- (ii) The proximity of the system to a potential point or non-point source of contamination. Point sources include spills and leaks of chemicals at or near a water treatment facility or at manufacturing, distribution, or storage facilities, or from hazardous and municipal waste landfills and other waste handling or treatment facilities. Non-point sources include the use of pesticides to control insect and weed pests on agricultural areas, forest lands, home and gardens, and other land application uses.
- (iii) The environmental persistence and transport of the pesticide or PCBs.
- (iv) How well the water source is protected against contamination due to such factors as depth of the well and the type of soil and the integrity of the well casing.
- (v) Elevated nitrate levels at the water supply source.
- (vi) Use of PCBs in equipment used in the production, storage, or distribution of water (i.e., PCBs used in pumps, transformers, etc.).
- (7) If an organic contaminant listed in §141.61(c) is detected (as defined by paragraph (h)(18) of this section) in any sample, then:
- (i) Each system must monitor quarterly at each sampling point which resulted in a detection.
- (ii) The State may decrease the quarterly monitoring requirement specified in paragraph (h)(7)(i) of this section provided it has determined that the system is reliably and consistently below the maximum contaminant level. In no case shall the State make this determination unless a groundwater system takes a minimum of two quarterly samples and a surface water

- system takes a minimum of four quarterly samples.
- (iii) After the State determines the system is reliably and consistently below the maximum contaminant level the State may allow the system to monitor annually. Systems which monitor annually must monitor during the quarter that previously yielded the highest analytical result.
- (iv) Systems which have 3 consecutive annual samples with no detection of a contaminant may apply to the State for a waiver as specified in paragraph (h)(6) of this section.
- (v) If the monitoring results in detection of one or more of certain related contaminants (heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide), then subsequent monitoring shall analyze for all related contaminants.
- (8) Systems which violate the requirements of §141.61(c) as determined by paragraph (h)(11) of this section must monitor quarterly. After a minimum of four quarterly samples show the system is in compliance and the State determines the system is reliably and consistently below the MCL, as specified in paragraph (h)(11) of this section, the system shall monitor at the frequency specified in paragraph (h)(7)(iii) of this section.
- (9) The State may require a confirmation sample for positive or negative results. If a confirmation sample is required by the State, the result must be averaged with the first sampling result and the average used for the compliance determination as specified by paragraph (h)(11) of this section. States have discretion to delete results of obvious sampling errors from this calculation.
- (10) The State may reduce the total number of samples a system must analyze by allowing the use of compositing. Composite samples from a maximum of five sampling points are allowed, provided that the detection limit of the method used for analysis is less than one-fifth of the MCL. Compositing of samples must be done in the laboratory and analyzed within 14 days of sample collection.
- (i) If the concentration in the composite sample detects one or more contaminants listed in §141.61(c), then a follow-up sample must be taken within

14 days at each sampling point included in the composite, and be analyzed for that contaminant.

- (ii) If duplicates of the original sample taken from each sampling point used in the composite sample are available, the system may use these instead of resampling. The duplicates must be analyzed and the results reported to the State within 14 days after completion of the composite analysis or before the holding time for the initial sample is exceeded whichever is sooner.
- (iii) If the population served by the system is >3,300 persons, then compositing may only be permitted by the State at sampling points within a single system. In systems serving ≤ 3,300 persons, the State may permit compositing among different systems provided the 5-sample limit is maintained.
- (11) Compliance with §141.61(c) shall be determined based on the analytical results obtained at each sampling point. If one sampling point is in violation of an MCL, the system is in violation of the MCL.
- (i) For systems monitoring more than once per year, compliance with the MCL is determined by a running annual average at each sampling point.
- (ii) Systems monitoring annually or less frequently whose sample result exceeds the regulatory detection level as defined by paragraph (h)(18) of this section must begin quarterly sampling. The system will not be considered in violation of the MCL until it has completed one year of quarterly sampling.
- (iii) If any sample result will cause the running annual average to exceed the MCL at any sampling point, the system is out of compliance with the MCL immediately.
- (iv) If a system fails to collect the required number of samples, compliance will be based on the total number of samples collected.
- (v) If a sample result is less than the detection limit, zero will be used to calculate the annual average.
 - (12) [Reserved]
- (13) Analysis for PCBs shall be conducted as follows using the methods in paragraph (e) of this section:
- (i) Each system which monitors for PCBs shall analyze each sample using either Method 508.1, 525.2, 508 or 505.

Users of Method 505 may have more difficulty in achieving the required Aroclor detection limits than users of Methods 508.1, 525.2 or 508.

(ii) If PCBs (as one of seven Aroclors) are detected (as designated in this paragraph) in any sample analyzed using Method 505 or 508, the system shall reanalyze the sample using Method 508A to quantitate PCBs (as decachlorobiphenyl).

Aroclor	Detection limit (mg/
1016	0.00008
1221	0.02
1242	0.0003
1248	0.0001
1254	0.0001
1260	0.0002

- (iii) Compliance with the PCB MCL shall be determined based upon the quantitative results of analyses using Method 508A.
- (14) If monitoring data collected after January 1, 1990, are generally consistent with the requirements of §141.24(h), then the State may allow systems to use that data to satisfy the monitoring requirement for the initial compliance period beginning January 1, 1993.
- (15) The State may increase the required monitoring frequency, where necessary, to detect variations within the system (e.g., fluctuations in concentration due to seasonal use, changes in water source).
- (16) The State has the authority to determine compliance or initiate enforcement action based upon analytical results and other information compiled by their sanctioned representatives and agencies.
- (17) Each public water system shall monitor at the time designated by the State within each compliance period.
- (18) Detection as used in this paragraph shall be defined as greater than or equal to the following concentrations for each contaminant.

Contaminant	Detection limit (mg/l)
Alachior	.0002
Aldicarb	.0005
Aldicarb sulfoxide	.0005
Aldicarb sulfone	.0008
Atrazine	.0001
Benzo[a]pyrene	.00002
Carbofuran	.0009

40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-11 Edition)

Contaminant	Detection limit (mg/l)
Chlordane	.0002
Dalapon	.001
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)	.00002
Di (2-ethylhexyt) adipate	.0006
Di (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	.0006
Dinoseb	.0002
Diquat	.0004
2,4-D	.0001
Endothall	.009
Endrin	.00001
Ethylene dibromide (EDB)	.00001
Glyphosate	.006
Heptachlor	.00004
Heptachlor epoxide	.00002
Hexachlorobenzene	.0001
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	.0001
Lindane	.00002
Methoxychior	.0001
Oxamyl	.002
Picloram	.0001
Polychiorinated biphenyls (PCBs) (as decachlorobiphenyl)	.0001
Pentachlorophenol	
Simazine	.00004
	.00007
Toxaphene	.001
2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)	.000000000
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	.0002

- (19) Anaylsis under this section shall only be conducted by laboratories that have received certification by EPA or the State and have met the following conditions:
- (i) To receive certification to conduct analyses for the contaminants in §141.61(c) the laboratory must:
- (A) Analyze Performance Evaluation (PE) samples provided by EPA, the State, or by a third party (with the approval of the State or EPA) at least once a year by each method for which the laboratory desires certification.
- (B) For each contaminant that has been included in the PE sample achieve quantitative results on the analyses that are within the following acceptance limits:

Contaminant	Acceptance limits (percent)
DBCP	±40
EDB	±40.
Alachior	±45.
Atrazine	±45.
Benzo[a]pyrene	2 standard deviations.
Carbofuran	±45.
Chlordane	±45.
Dalapon	2 standard deviations.
Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate	2 standard deviations.
Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2 standard deviations.
Dinoseb	2 standard deviations.
Diguat	2 standard deviations

Contaminant	Acceptance limits (percent)
Endothali	2 standard deviations.
Endrin	±30.
Glyphosate	2 standard deviations.
Heptachlor	±45.
Heptachlor epoxide	±45.
Hexachlorobenzene	2 standard deviations.
Hexachloro- cyclopentadiene	2 standard deviations.
Lindane	±45.
Methoxychlor	±45.
Oxamyl	2 standard deviations.
PCBs (as	0-200.
Decachlorobiphenyl)	
Pictoram	2 standard deviations.
Simazine	2 standard deviations.
Toxaphene	±45.
Aldicarb	2 standard deviations.
Aldicarb sulfoxide	2 standard deviations.
Aldicarb sulfone	2 standard deviations.
Pentachlorophenol	±50.
2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)	2 standard deviations.
2,4-D	±50.
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	±50.

(ii) [Reserved]

(20) All new systems or systems that use a new source of water that begin operation after January 22, 2004 must demonstrate compliance with the MCL within a period of time specified by the State. The system must also comply with the initial sampling frequencies specified by the State to ensure a system can demonstrate compliance with the MCL. Routine and increased monitoring frequencies shall be conducted in accordance with the requirements in this section.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2040-0090)

[40 FR 59570, Dec. 24, 1975]

EDITORIAL NOTE: FOR FEDERAL REGISTER citations affecting §141.24, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and at www.fdsvs.gov.

§ 141.25 Analytical methods for radioactivity.

(a) Analysis for the following contaminants shall be conducted to determine compliance with §141.66 (radioactivity) in accordance with the methods in the following table, or the alternative methods listed in appendix A to subpart C this part, or their equivalent determined by EPA in accordance with §141.27.

					Refer	Reference (Method of Page Number)	ige Number}			
Contaminant	Methodology	EPA1	EPA2	EPA3	EPA4	SMs	ASTM®	USGS7	DOE®	Other
Naturally Occurring: Gross alpha 11 and beta	Evaporation	900.0	P1 .:	9-61	р. 1	302, 7110 B, 7110 B,00		R-1120-76.		
Gross alpha 11	Coprecipitation			00-02		7110 C, 7110				
Radium 226	Radon emanation	903.1	p. 16	Ra-04	р. 19	305, 7500-Ra C. 7500-	D3454-97	R-1141-76	Ra-04	, e YN
	Radiochemical	903.0	р. 13	Ra-03		Ra C-01. 304, 7500-Ra B, 7500-Ra	D2460-97	R-1140-76		GA 14
Radium 228	Radiochemical	904.0	p. 24	Ra-05	р. 19	B-01. 7500-Ra D. 7500-Ra		R-1142-76		ν ΣΝ δ ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο
Uranium ¹²	Radiochemical	908.0				7500-U B, 7500-U B, 7500-U B-				GA 4
	Fluorometric	908.1				00. 7500-U C (17th Ed.).	D2907-97	R-1180-76, R-1181-	V-04	
	ICP-MS	200.813		00-07	р. 33	3125	D5673-03. D3972- 97, 02.	/o. R-1182-76	U-02.	
	Laser Phosphorimetry.					Ed.), 7500- U C-00.	D5174- 97, 02.			
Mail-Made: Radioactive Cesium	Radiochemical	901.0	p. 4 .:			7500-Cs B, 7500-Cs	D2459-72	R-1111-76.		
Badipactive lodine	Gamma Ray Spectrometry. Radiochemical	901.1	6		p. 92	B-00. 7120, 7120- 97. 7500-1 B.	D3649- 91, 98a.	R-1110-76	4.5.2.3.	
			, o			75004 B- 00. 7500-1 C, 7500-1 C- 00.				

	Mothodology				Refer	Reference (Method of Page Number)	age Number)			
	Mellodology	EPA1	EPA2	EPA3	EPA4	SM ⁶	ASTM ⁸	USGS7	DOE®	Other
						7500-1 D, 7500-1 D -	D3649-			
	Gamma Ray Spectrometry	901.1			р. 92	7120, 7120 97	D4785-		4.5.2.3.	
Radioactive Strontium 89, 90.	Radiochemical	905.0	p. 29	Sr-04	р. 65	303, 7500—Sr B, 7500—Sr		R-1160-76	Sr-01, Sr-02.	
Tritium Liquid Scintillation	Liquid Scintillation	906.0	906.0 p. 34	H-02	Н-02 р. 87	B-01.	D4107 91, 98	R-1171-76.		
						7500-3 H B-00.	Ф. ф			
							proved 2002).			
Gamma Emitters Gamma Ray Spectrometry.	Gamma Ray Spectrometry.	901.1			р. 92	p. 92 7120, 7120- 97.	D3649- 91, 98a.	R-1110-76	Ga-01-R.	
		902.0				7500-Cs B, 7500-Cs	D4785- 93, 00a.			
		901.0				B-00. 7500-1 B, 7500-1 B-			·	
				·		00.				

The procedures shall be done in accordance with the documents listed below. The incorporation by reterence of documents 1 through 10 and 13 through 14 was approved by the D1-stating through 12 CSC 552(8) and 12 (COpies of the documents may be obtained from the State Drinking Water Holtine at 800-428-4781. Documents may be belianed from the State Drinking Water Holtine at 800-428-4781. Documents may be linspected at EPA's Drinking Water Docket, EPA Weest, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 3334, Washington, DC 20460 (Telephone: 202-568-2428); or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-3600, or go to: "http://www.archives.gov/declars for applications/proficed and the State of Internation State of March 1978 Available at the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service (NTIS), 2585 Ford Royal Read, Springfield, VA 2216 (Telephone 800-253-8437), and 1978 Available at the U.S. Department of Commerce, National State of Canada S

412

9"Determination of Ra-226 and Ra-229," January 1980, Tevrised June 1982. Available at Taddiological Sciences institute for Laboratories and Research, New York State Department of Health. Empire State Plaza, Albany, NY 12201;

10"Determination of Radium 228 in Diriking Water," August 1980. Available at State of New Jersey, Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Environmental Quality, Bureau of Radian 228 in Diriking Water," August 1980. Available at State of New Jersey, Department of Protection, Division of Environmental Quality, Bureau of Radian and Inorganic Analyticas, Services, 9 Ewing Street, Trenton, NJ 08625.

1. Natural unanium and thorium-230 are approved as gross alpha calibration standards for gross alpha with co-precipitation and evaporation methods.

12" In unium (U) is determined by mass, a 0.67 pCVigg of uranium conversion factor must be used. This conversion factor is based on the 1:1 activity ratio of U-294 and U-298 and U-298.

19"Determination of Trace Enements in Waters and Waters by Gammer-228 and radium-228 most endorment of Technology, 820 Cherry Street, Altanta, GA 30322-0335, USA, Telephone: 404-698-3776. This method may be used to analyze for radium-228 in samples collected after January 1, 2005 to satisfy the radium-228 and radium-228 monitoring requirements specified at 40 CFR 141.28.

(b) When the identification and measurement of radionuclides other than those listed in paragraph (a) of this section is required, the following references are to be used, except in cases where alternative methods have been approved in accordance with §141.27.

(1) Procedures for Radiochemical Analysis of Nuclear Reactor Aqueous Solutions, H. L. Krieger and S. Gold, EPA-R4-73-014. USEPA, Cincinnati, Ohio, May 1973.

(2) HASL Procedure Manual, Edited by John H. Harley. HASL 300, ERDA Health and Safety Laboratory, New York, NY., 1973.

(c) For the purpose of monitoring radioactivity concentrations in drinking water, the required sensitivity of the radioanalysis is defined in terms of a detection limit. The detection limit shall be that concentration which can be counted with a precision of plus or minus 100 percent at the 95 percent confidence level (1.96σ where σ is the standard deviation of the net counting rate of the sample).

(1) To determine compliance with §141.66(b), (c), and (e) the detection limit shall not exceed the concentrations in Table B to this paragraph.

TABLE B—DETECTION LIMITS FOR GROSS ALPHA PARTICLE ACTIVITY, RADIUM 226, RADIUM 228, AND URANIUM

Contaminant	Detection limit
Gross alpha particle activity	3 pCi/L. 1 pCi/L. 1 pCi/L.
Uranium	1 μg/L

(2) To determine compliance with §141.66(d) the detection limits shall not exceed the concentrations listed in Table C to this paragraph.

TABLE C—DETECTION LIMITS FOR MAN-MADE BETA PARTICLE AND PHOTON EMITTERS

Radionuclide	Detection limit
Tritium Strontium-89 Strontium-90 lodine-131 Cesium-134 Gross beta Other radionuclides	2 pCi/1. 1 pCi/1. 10 pCi/1. 4 pCi/1.

(d) To judge compliance with the maximum contaminant levels listed in

§141.66, averages of data shall be used and shall be rounded to the same number of significant figures as the maximum contaminant level for the substance in question.

(e) The State has the authority to determine compliance or initiate enforcement action based upon analytical results or other information compiled by their sanctioned representatives and agencies.

[41 FR 28404, July 9, 1976, as amended at 45 FR 57345, Aug. 27, 1980; 62 FR 10173, Mar. 5, 1997; 65 FR 76745, Dec. 7, 2000; 67 FR 65250, Oct. 23, 2002; 69 FR 38855, June 29, 2004; 69 FR 52180, Aug. 25, 2004; 72 FR 11245, Mar. 12, 2007; 74 FR 30958, June 29, 2009]

40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-11 Edition)

$\S 141.27$ Alternate analytical techniques.

(a) With the written permission of the State, concurred in by the Administrator of the U.S. EPA, an alternate analytical technique may be employed. An alternate technique shall be accepted only if it is substantially equivalent to the prescribed test in both precision and accuracy as it relates to the determination of compliance with any MCL. The use of the alternate analytical technique shall not decrease the frequency of monitoring required by this part.

[45 FR 57345, Aug. 27, 1980]

A = 140 + 1 CKC

§ 141.74

§141.74 Analytical and monitoring requirements.

(a) Analytical requirements. Only the analytical method(s) specified in this paragraph, or otherwise approved by EPA, may be used to demonstrate compliance with §§141.71, 141.72 and 141.73. Measurements for pH, turbidity, temperature and residual disinfectant concentrations must be conducted by a person approved by the State. Measurement for total coliforms, fecal coliforms and HPC must be conducted by a laboratory certified by the State or EPA to do such analysis. Until laboratory certification criteria are developed for the analysis of fecal coliforms and HPC, any laboratory certified for total coliforms analysis by the State or EPA is deemed certified for fecal coliforms and HPC analysis. The following procedures shall be conducted in accordance with the publications listed in the following section. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies of the methods published in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater may be obtained from the American Public Health Association et al., 1015 Fifteenth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005; copies of the Minimal Medium ONPG-MUG Method as set forth in the article "National Field Evaluation of a Defined Substrate Method for the Simultaneous Enumeration of Total Coliforms and Esherichia coli from Drinking Water: Comparison with the Standard Multiple Tube Fermentation Method" (Edberg et al.), Applied and Environ-

mental Microbiology, Volume 54, pp. 1595-1601, June 1988 (as amended under Erratum, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, Volume 54, p. 3197, December, 1988), may be obtained from the American Water Works Association Research Foundation, 6666 West Quincy Avenue, Denver, Colorado, 80235; and copies of the Indigo Method as set forth in the article "Determination of Ozone in Water by the Indigo Method" (Bader and Hoigne), may be obtained from Science &z Engineering, Pergamon Press Ltd., Fairview Park, Elmsford, New York 10523. Copies may be inspected at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Room EB15, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460 or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: http:// www.archives.gov/federal_register/ code_of_federal_regulations/ ibr locations.html.

duct analysis of pH and temperature in accordance with one of the methods listed at §141.23(k)(1). Public water systems must conduct analysis of total coliforms, fecal coliforms, heterotrophic bacteria, and turbidity in accordance with one of the following analytical methods or one of the alternative methods listed in appendix A to subpart C of this part and by using analytical test procedures contained in Technical Notes on Drinking Water Methods. EPA-600/R-94-173. October 1994. This document is available from the

(1) Public water systems must con-

National Service Center for Environmental Publications (NSCEP), P.O. Box 42419, Cincinnati, OH 45242-0419 or http://www.epa.gov/nscep/.

Organism	Methodology	Citation 1
Total Coliform ²	Total Coliform Fer- mentation Tech- nique 3,4,5,	9221 A, B, C
	Total Coliform Membrane Filter Technique 6.	9222 A, B, C
	ONPG-MUG Test7	9223
Fecal Coliforms 2	Fecal Coliform Procedure 8.	9221 E
	Fecal Coliform Fil- ter Procedure.	9222 D
Heterotrophic bac- teria ² .	Pour Plate Method	9215 B
	SimPlate 11.	
Turbidity 13	Nephelometric Method.	2130 B

Organism	Methodology	Citation 1
	Nephelometric Method.	180.1 ⁹
	Great Lakes In- struments.	Method 210
	Hach FilterTrak	10133 12

The procedures shall be done in accordance with the documents listed below. The incorporation by reference of the following documents listed in footnotes 1, 6, 7 and 9–12 was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies of the documents may be obtained from the sources listed below. Information regarding obtaining these documents can be obtained from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline at 800–426–4791. Documents may be inspected at EPA's Drinking Water Docket, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., EPA West, Room B102, Washington DC 20460 (Telephone: 202–568–2428); or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NAFA). For information on the availability of this material at NAFA, call 202–741–8030, or go to: https://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr-locations.html.

Texcept where noted, all methods refer to Standard Meth-

202-14-10-039, bit got to the property of the control of the contr

10 deg. C during transit.

3 Lactose broth, as commercially available, may be used in lieu of lauryl tryptose broth, if the system conducts at least 25 parallel tests between this medium and lauryl tryptose broth using the water normally tested, and this comparison demonstrates that the false-positive rate and false-negative rate for total coliform, using lactose broth, is less than 10 percent.

4 Media should cover inverted tubes at least one-half to two-thirds after the sample is added.

thirds after the sample is added.

"No requirement exists to run the completed phase on 10 percent of all total coliform-positive confirmed tubes.

"Mt agar also may be used. Preparation and use of MI agar is set forth in the article, "New medium for the simultaneous detection of total coliform and Escherichia coli in water" by Brenner, K.P., et. al., 1993, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59:3534–3544. Also available from the Office of Water Resource Center (RC-4100T), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20460, EPA/600/J-99/225. Verification of colonies is not required. colonies is not required.

7The ONPG-MUG Test is also known as the Autoanalysis

*A-1 broth may be held up to 7 days in a tightly closed screw cap tube at 4 °C.

9 "Methods for the Determination of Inorganic Substances in Environmental Samples", EPA/600/R-93/100, August 1993.
 Available at NTIS, PB94-121811.
 10 GLI Method 2, "Turbidity," November 2, 1992, Great Lakes Instruments, Inc., 8855 North 55th Street, Milwaukee,

WI 53223.

WI 53223.

11A description of the SimPlate method, "IDEXX SimPlate
TM HPC Test Method for Heterotrophs in Water," November
2000, can be obtained from IDEXX Laboratories, Inc., 1
IDEXX Drive, Westbrook, ME 04092, telephone (800) 321—

0207.

¹²A description of the Hach FilterTrak Method 10133, "Determination of Turbidity by Laser Nephelometry," January 2000, Revision 2.0, can be obtained from; Hach Co., P.O. Box 389, Loveland, CO 80539–0389, telephone: 800–227–4224.

¹³Styrene divinyl benzene beads (e.g., AMCO-AEPA-1 or equivalent) and stabilized formazin (e.g., Hach StabiCai™ or equivalent) are acceptable substitutes for formazin.

(2) Public water systems must measure residual disinfectant concentrations with one of the analytical methods in the following table or one of the alternative methods listed in appendix A to subpart C of this part, If approved by the State, residual disinfectant concentrations for free chlorine and combined chlorine also may be measured by using DPD colorimetric test kits. In addition States may approve the use of the ITS free chlorine test strip for the determination of free chlorine. Use of the test strips is described in Method D99-003, "Free Chlorine Species (HOCland OCl-) by Test Strip," Revision 3.0, November 21, 2003, available from Industrial Test Systems, Inc., 1875 Langston St., Rock Hill, SC 29730. Free and total chlorine residuals may be measured continuously by adapting a specified chlorine residual method for use with a continuous monitoring instrument provided the chemistry, accuracy, and precision remain the same. Instruments used for continuous monitoring must be calibrated with a grab sample measurement at least every five days, or with a protocol approved by the State.

Rosidual	Methodology	SM1	SM Online ²	Other
Free Chlorine	Amperometric Titration		4500-CID 4500-CID-00	D1253-033
	DPD Ferrous Titrimetric		4500-CI F-00.	
	Syringaldazine (FACTS)	4500-CI H	4500-CI H-00,	
Total Chlorine	-	_	4500-CI D-00	D1253-033
	Amperometric Titration (low level measurement)	4500-CI E	4500-CI E-00.	
	DPD Ferrous Titrimetric	4500-CI F	4500-CI F-00.	
	DPD Colorimetric	4500-CI G	4500-CI G-00.	
	lodometric Electrode	4500-CI I	4500-Ct I-00.	
Chlorine Dioxide	Amperometric Titration	4500-CIO ₂ C	4500-CIO ₂ C-00.	
	DPD Method	4500-CIO ₂ D.		
	Amperometric Titration	4500-CIO ₂ E	4500-CIO ₂ E-00.	
	Spectrophotometric	***************************************		327.0, Revision 1.14
Ozone	Indigo Method	4500-O ₃ B	4500-O ₃ B-97.	

- (b) Monitoring requirements for systems that do not provide filtration. A public water system that uses a surface water source and does not provide filtration treatment must begin monitoring, as specified in this paragraph (b), beginning December 31, 1990, unless the State has determined that filtration is required in writing pursuant to §1412(b)(7)(C)(iii), in which case the State may specify alternative monitoring requirements, as appropriate, until filtration is in place. A public water system that uses a ground water source under the direct influence of surface water and does not provide filtration treatment must begin monitoring as specified in this paragraph (b) beginning December 31, 1990, or 6 months after the State determines that the ground water source is under the direct influence of surface water, whichever is later, unless the State has determined that filtration is required writing pursuant §1412(b)(7)(C)(iii), in which case the State may specify alternative monitoring requirements, as appropriate, until filtration is in place.
- (1) Fecal coliform or total coliform density measurements as required by §141.71(a)(1) must be performed on representative source water samples immediately prior to the first or only point of disinfectant application. The system must sample for fecal or total coliforms at the following minimum frequency each week the system serves water to the public:

System size (persons served)	Samples/ week ¹
≤500	1
501 to 3,300	2
3,301 to 10,000	з
10,001 to 25,000	4
>25,000	5

¹ Must be taken on separate days.

Also, one fecal or total coliform density measurement must be made every day the system serves water to the public and the turbidity of the source water exceeds 1 NTU (these samples count towards the weekly coliform sampling requirement) unless the State determines that the system, for logistical reasons outside the system's control, cannot have the sample analyzed within 30 hours of collection.

- (2) Turbidity measurements as required by §141.71(a)(2) must be performed on representative grab samples of source water immediately prior to the first or only point of disinfectant application every four hours (or more frequently) that the system serves water to the public. A public water system may substitute continuous turbidity monitoring for grab sample monitoring if it validates the continuous measurement for accuracy on a regular basis using a protocol approved by the State.
- (3) The total inactivation ratio for each day that the system is in operation must be determined based on the CT_{99,9} values in tables 1.1–1.6, 2.1, and 3.1 of this section, as appropriate. The parameters necessary to determine the total inactivation ratio must be monitored as follows:
- (i) The temperature of the disinfected water must be measured at least once per day at each residual disinfectant concentration sampling point.
- (ii) If the system uses chlorine, the pH of the disinfected water must be measured at least once per day at each chlorine residual disinfectant concentration sampling point.
- (iii) The disinfectant contact time(s) ("T") must be determined for each day during peak hourly flow.
- (iv) The residual disinfectant concentration(s) ("C") of the water before or at the first customer must be measured each day during peak hourly flow.
- (v) If a system uses a disinfectant other than chlorine, the system may demonstrate to the State, through the use of a State-approved protocol for onsite disinfection challenge studies or other information satisfactory to the State, that CT_{99,9} values other than those specified in tables 2.1 and 3.1 in this section other operational parameters are adequate to demonstrate that the system is achieving the minimum inactivation rates required by §141.72(a)(1).

§ 141.74

TABLE 1.1—CT VALUES (CT_{99.9}) FOR 99.9 PERCENT INACTIVATION OF GIARDIA LAMBLIA CYSTS BY FREE CHLORINE AT 0.5 °C OR LOWER ¹

Resid- ual				рН			
(mg/l)	≤6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	≤9.0
≤0.4	137	163	195	237	277	329	390
0.6	141	168	200	239	286	342	407
0.8	145	172	205	246	295	354	422
1.0	148	176	210	253	304	365	437
1.2	152	180	215	259	313	376	451
1.4	155	184	221	266	321	387	464
1.6	157	189	226	273	329	397	477
1.8	162	193	231	279	338	407	489
2.0	165	197	236	286	346	417	500
2.2	169	201	242	297	353	426	511
2.4	172	205	247	298	361	435	522
2.6	175	209	252	304	368	444	533
2.8	178	213	257	310	375	452	543
3.0	181	217	261	316	382	460	552

¹These CT values achieve greater than a 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the indicated pH values may be determined by linear interpolation. CT values between the indicated temperatures of different tables may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the CT_{99.9} value at the lower temperature and at the higher pH.

TABLE 1.2—CT VALUES (CT 99.9) FOR 99.9
PERCENT INACTIVATION OF GIARDIA LAMBLIA
CYSTS BY FREE CHLORINE AT 5.0 °C 1

• • •						_	
Free resid-				pН			
uai (mg/l)	≤6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	≤9.0
≤0.4	97	117	139	166	198	236	279
0.6	100	120	143	171	204	244	291
0.8	103	122	146	175	210	252	301
1.0	105	125	149	179	216	260	312
1.2	107	127	152	183	221	267	320
1.4	109	130	155	187	227	274	329
1.6	111	132	158	192	232	281	337
1.8	114	135	162	196	238	287	345
2.0	116	138	165	200	243	294	353
2.2	118	140	169	204	248	300	361
2.4	120	143	172	209	253	306	368
2.6	122	146	175	213	258	312	375
2.8	124	148	178	217	263	318	382
3.0	126	151	182	221	268	324	389

¹These CT values achieve greater than a 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the indicated pH values may be determined by linear interpolation. CT values between the indicated temperatures of different tables may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the CT_{99.9} value at the lower temperature, and at the higher pH.

Table 1.3—CT Values (CT 99.9) FOR 99.9
PERCENT INACTIVATION OF GIARDIA LAMBLIA
CYSTS BY FREE CHLORINE AT 10.0 °C1

Free resid-				pН			
ual (mg/l)	≤6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	≤9.0
≤0.4	73	88	104	125	149	177	209
0.6	75	90	107	128	153	183	218
0.8	78	92	110	131	158	189	226
1.0	79	94	112	134	162	195	234

TABLE 1.3—CT VALUES (CT 99.9) FOR 99.9 PERCENT INACTIVATION OF GIARDIA LAMBLIA CYSTS BY FREE CHLORINE AT 10.0 °C1—Continued

Free resid-				ρН			
ual (mg/l)	≤6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	≤9.0
1.2	80	95	114	137	166	200	240
1.4	82	98	116	140	170	206	247
1.6	83 '	99	119	144	174	211	253
1.8	86	101	122	147	179	215	259
2.0	87	104	124	150	182	221	265
2.2	89	105	127	153	186	225	271
2.4	90	107	129	157	190	230	276
2.6	92	110	131	160	194	234	281
2.8	93	111	134	163	197	239	287
3.0	95	113	137	166	201	243	292

¹These CT values achieve greater than a 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the indicated pH values may be determined by linear interpolation. CT values between the indicated temperatures of different tables may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the CT_{9.9}, value at the lower temperature, and at the higher of L.

TABLE 1.4—CT VALUES (CT 99.9) FOR 99.9 PERCENT INACTIVATION OF GIARDIA LAMBLIA CYSTS BY FREE CHLORINE AT 15.0 °C 1

Free resid-				рH			
ual (mg/l)	≤6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	≤9.0
≤0.4	49	59	70	83	99	118	140
0.6	50	60	72	86	102	122	14€
0.8	52	61	73	88	105	126	151
1.0	53	63	75	90	108	130	156
1.2	54	64	76	92	111	134	160
1.4	55	65	78	94	114	137	165
1.6	56	66	79	96	116	141	169
1.8	57	68	81	98	119	144	173
2.0	58	69	83	100	122	147	177
2.2	59	. 70	85	102	124	150	181
2.4	60	72	86	105	127	153	184
2.6	61	73	88	107	129	156	188
2.8	62	74	89	109	132	159	191
3.0	63	76	91	111	134	162	195

¹ Those CT values achieve greater than a 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the indicated pH values may be determined by linear interpolation. CT values between the indicated temperatures of different tables may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the CT₂₉₉ value at the lower temperature, and at the higher pH.

Table 1.5—CT Values (CT99.9) FOR 99.9 Percent Inactivation of Giardia Lamblia Cysts by Free Chlorine at 20 $^{\circ}\text{C}^{\ 1}$

Free resid-				рН			
ual (mg/l)	≤ 6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	≤ 9.0
≤ 0.4	36	44	52	62	74	89	105
0.6	38	45	54	64	77	92	109
0.8	39	46	55	66	79	95	113
1.0	39	47	56	67	81	98	117
1.2	40	48	57	69	83	100	120
1.4	41	49	58	70	85	103	123
1.6	42	50	59	72	87	105	126

Table 1.5—CT Values (CT99.9) FOR 99.9 Percent Inactivation of Giardia Lamblia Cysts by Free Chlorine at $20\,^{\circ}\text{C}^{\,1}$ —Continued

			pΗ			
≤ 6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	≤ 9.0
43	51	61	74	89	108	129
44	52	62	75	91	110	132
44	53	63	77	93	113	135
45	54	65	78	95	115	138
46	55	66	80	97	117	141
47	56	67	81	99	119	143
47	57	68	83	101	122	146
	43 44 44 45 46 47	43 51 44 52 44 53 45 54 46 55 47 56	43 51 61 44 52 62 44 53 63 45 54 65 46 55 66 47 56 67	≤6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 43 51 61 74 44 52 62 75 44 53 63 77 45 54 65 78 46 55 66 80 47 56 67 81	≤6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 43 51 61 74 89 44 52 62 75 91 44 53 63 77 93 45 54 65 78 95 46 55 66 80 97 47 56 67 81 99	≤6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 8.5 43 51 61 74 89 108 44 52 62 75 91 110 44 53 63 77 93 113 45 54 65 78 95 115 46 55 66 80 97 117 47 56 67 81 99 119

¹These CT values achieve greater than a 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the indicated pH values may be determined by linear interpolation. CT values between the indicated temperatures of different tables may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the CT_{9.9} value at the lower temperature, and at the higher pH.

TABLE 1.6—CT VALUES (CT99.9) FOR 99.9 PERCENT INACTIVATION OF GIARDIA LAMBLIA CYSTS BY FREE CHLORINE AT 25 °C 1 AND HIGHER

Free resid-				рН			
ual (mg/l)	≤ 6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	≤ 9.0
≤ 0.4	24	29	35	42	50	59	70
0.6	25	30	36	43	51	61	73
0.8	26	31	37	44	53	63	75
1.0	26	31	37	45	54	65	78
1.2	27	32	38	46	55	67	80
1.4	27	33	39	47	57	69	82
1.6	28	33	40	48	58	70	84
1.8	29	34	41	49	60	72	86
2.0	29	35	41	50	61	74	88
2.2	30	35	42	51	62	75	90
2.4	30	36	43	52	63	77	92
2.6	31	37	44	53	65	78	94
2.8	31	37	45	54	66	80	96
3.0	32	38	46	55	67	81	97

¹These CT values achieve greater than a 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the indicated pH values may be determined by linear interpolation. CT values between the indicated temperatures of different tables may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the CT_{9.9} value at the lower temperature, and at the higher pH.

TABLE 2.1—CT VALUES (CT_{99.9}) FOR 99.9 PERCENT INACTIVATION OF GIARDIA LAMBLIA CYSTS BY CHLORINE DIOXIDE AND OZONE ¹

	Temperature					
	< 1°C	5°C	10°C	15 °C	20°C	≥ 25 °C
Chlorine diaxide	63 2.9	26 1.9	23 1.4	19 0.95	15 0.72	11 0.48

¹These CT values achieve greater than 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the indicated temperatures may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the $CT_{99.9}$ value at the lower temperature for determining $CT_{99.9}$ values between indicated temperatures.

TABLE 3.1—CT VALUES (CT 99.9) FOR 99.9
PERCENT INACTIVATION OF GIARDIA LAMBLIA
CYSTS BY CHLORAMINES 1

		Tempe	rature		
< 1 °C	5 °C	10 °C	15 °C	20 °C	25 °C
3,800	2,200	1,850	1,500	1,100	750

¹ These values are for pH values of 6 to 9. These CT values may be assumed to achieve greater than 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses only if chlorine is added and mixed in the water prior to the addition of ammonia. If this condition is not met, the system must demonstrate, based on on-site studies or other information, as approved by the State, that the system is achieving at least 89.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the indicated temperatures may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the CT_{199.9} values between indicated temperature for determining CT_{99.9} values between indicated temperatures.

- (4) The total inactivation ratio must be calculated as follows:
- (i) If the system uses only one point of disinfectant application, the system

may determine the total inactivation ratio based on either of the following two methods:

- (A) One inactivation ratio (CTcalc/CT_{99.9}) is determined before or at the first customer during peak hourly flow and if the CTcalc/CT_{99.9} \geq 1.0, the 99.9 percent *Giardia lamblia* inactivation requirement has been achieved; or
- (B) Successive CTcalc/CT_{99.9} values, representing sequential inactivation ratios, are determined between the point of disinfectant application and a point before or at the first customer during peak hourly flow. Under this alternative, the following method must be used to calculate the total inactivation ratio:

- (1) Determine $\frac{\text{CTcalc}}{\text{CT}_{99,9}}$ for each sequence.
- (2) Add the $\frac{\text{CTcalc}}{\text{CT}_{99.9}}$ values together $\left(\sum \frac{(\text{CTcalc})}{\text{CT}_{99.9}}\right)$
- (3) If $\sum \left(\frac{\text{CTcalc}}{\text{CT}_{99.9}}\right) \ge 1.0$, the 99.9 percent Giardia

lamblia inactivation requirement has been achieved.

(ii) If the system uses more than one point of disinfectant application before or at the first customer, the system must determine the CT value of each disinfection sequence immediately prior to the next point of disinfectant application during peak hourly flow. The CTcalc/CT_{99.9} value of each sequence and

$$\sum \frac{\text{CTcalc}}{\text{CT}_{99.9}}$$

must be calculated using the method in paragraph (b)(4)(i)(B) of this section to determine if the system is in compliance with \$141.72(a).

(iii) Although not required, the total percent inactivation for a system with one or more points of residual disinfectant concentration monitoring may be calculated by solving the following equation:

Percent inactivation =
$$100 - \frac{100}{10^z}$$

where
$$z = 3 \times \sum \left(\frac{CTcalc}{CT_{99.9}} \right)$$

(5) The residual disinfectant concentration of the water entering the distribution system must be monitored continuously, and the lowest value must be recorded each day, except that if there is a failure in the continuous monitoring equipment, grab sampling every 4 hours may be conducted in lieu of continuous monitoring, but for no more than 5 working days following the failure of the equipment, and systems serving 3,300 or fewer persons may take grab samples in lieu of providing

continuous monitoring on an ongoing basis at the frequencies prescribed below:

System size by population	Samples/ day 1
<500	1
501 to 1,000	2
1,001 to 2,500	3
2,501 to 3,300	4

¹The day's samples cannot be taken at the same time. The sampling intervals are subject to State review and approval.

If at any time the residual disinfectant concentration falls below 0.2 mg/l in a system using grab sampling in lieu of continuous monitoring, the system must take a grab sample every 4 hours until the residual concentration is equal to or greater than 0.2 mg/l.

(6)(i) The residual disinfectant concentration must be measured at least at the same points in the distribution system and at the same time as total coliforms are sampled, as specified in §141.21, except that the State may allow a public water system which uses both a surface water source or a ground water source under direct influence of surface water, and a ground water source, to take disinfectant residual samples at points other than the total coliform sampling points if the State determines that such points are more representative of treated (disinfected) water quality within the distribution system. Heterotrophic bacteria, measured as heterotrophic plate count (HPC) as specified in paragraph (a)(3) of this section, may be measured in lieu of residual disinfectant concentration.

(ii) If the State determines, based on site-specific considerations, that a system has no means for having a sample transported and analyzed for HPC by a certified laboratory under the requisite

time and temperature conditions specified by paragraph (a)(1) of this section and that the system is providing adequate disinfection in the distribution system, the requirements of paragraph (b)(6)(i) of this section do not apply to that system.

- (c) Monitoring requirements for systems using filtration treatment. A public water system that uses a surface water source or a ground water source under the influence of surface water and provides filtration treatment must monitor in accordance with this paragraph (c) beginning June 29, 1993, or when filtration is installed, whichever is later.
- (1) Turbidity measurements as required by §141.73 must be performed on representative samples of the system's filtered water every four hours (or more frequently) that the system serves water to the public. A public water system may substitute continuous turbidity monitoring for grab sample monitoring if it validates the continuous measurement for accuracy on a regular basis using a protocol approved by the State. For any systems using slow sand filtration or filtration treatment other than conventional treatment, direct filtration, or diatomaceous earth filtration, the State may reduce the sampling frequency to once per day if it determines that less frequent monitoring is sufficient to indicate effective filtration performance. For systems serving 500 or fewer persons, the State may reduce the turbidity sampling frequency to once per day, regardless of the type of filtration treatment used, if the State determines that less frequent monitoring is sufficient to indicate effective filtration performance.
- (2) The residual disinfectant concentration of the water entering the distribution system must be monitored continuously, and the lowest value must be recorded each day, except that if there is a failure in the continuous monitoring equipment, grab sampling every 4 hours may be conducted in lieu of continuous monitoring, but for no more than 5 working days following the failure of the equipment, and systems serving 3,300 or fewer persons may take grab samples in lieu of providing continuous monitoring on an ongoing

basis at the frequencies each day prescribed below:

System size by population	Samples/ day 1
±500	1
501 to 1,000	2
1,001 to 2,500	3
2,501 to 3,300	4

¹The day's samples cannot be taken at the same time. The sampling intervals are subject to State review and approval.

If at any time the residual disinfectant concentration falls below 0.2 mg/l in a system using grab sampling in lieu of continuous monitoring, the system must take a grab sample every 4 hours until the residual disinfectant concentration is equal to or greater than 0.2 mg/l.

- (3)(i) The residual disinfectant concentration must be measured at least at the same points in the distribution system and at the same time as total coliforms are sampled, as specified in §141.21, except that the State may allow a public water system which uses both a surface water source or a ground water source under direct influence of surface water, and a ground water source to take disinfectant residual samples at points other than the total coliform sampling points if the State determines that such points are more representative of treated (disinfected) water quality within the distribution system. Heterotrophic bacteria, measured as heterotrophic plate count (HPC) as specified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, may be measured in lieu of residual disinfectant concentration.
- (ii) If the State determines, based on site-specific considerations, that a system has no means for having a sample transported and analyzed for HPC by a certified laboratory under the requisite time and temperature conditions specified by paragraph (a)(1) of this section and that the system is providing adequate disinfection in the distribution system, the requirements of paragraph (c)(3)(i) of this section do not apply to that system.

[54 FR 27527, June 29, 1989, as amended at 59 FR 62470, Dec. 5, 1994; 60 FR 34086, June 29, 1995; 64 FR 67465, Dec. 1, 1999; 67 FR 65252, Oct. 23, 2002; 67 FR 65901, Oct. 29, 2002; 69 FR 38856, June 29, 2004; 72 FR 11247, Mar. 12, 2007; 74 FR 30958, June 29, 2009]

§ 141.89

§141.89 Analytical methods.

(a) Analyses for lead, copper, pH, conductivity, calcium, alkalinity, orthophosphate, silica, and temperature shall be conducted with the methods in §141.23(k)(1).

(1) Analyses for alkalinity, calcium, conductivity, orthophosphate, pH, silica, and temperature may be performed by any person acceptable to the State. Analyses under this section for lead and copper shall only be conducted by laboratories that have been certified by EPA or the State. To obtain certification to conduct analyses for lead and copper, laboratories must:

(i) Analyze Performance Evaluation samples, which include lead and copper, provided by or acceptable to EPA or the State at least once a year by each method for which the laboratory desires certification; and

(ii) Achieve quantitative acceptance limits as follows:

(A) For lead: ±30 percent of the actual amount in the Performance Evaluation sample when the actual amount is greater than or equal to 0.005 mg/L. The Practical Quantitation Level, or PQL for lead is 0.005 mg/L.

(B) For Copper: ±10 percent of the actual amount in the Performance Evaluation sample when the actual amount is greater than or equal to 0.050 mg/L.

The Practical Quantitation Level, or PQL for copper is 0.050 mg/L.

(iii) Achieve the method detection limit for lead of 0.001 mg/L according to the procedures in appendix B of part 136 of this title. This need only be accomplished if the laboratory will be processing source water composite samples under §141.88(a)(1)(iv).

(iv) Be currently certified by EPA or the State to perform analyses to the specifications described in paragraph

(a)(1) of this section.

(2) States have the authority to allow the use of previously collected monitoring data for purposes of monitoring, if the data were collected and analyzed in accordance with the requirements of this subpart.

(3) All lead and copper levels measured between the PQL and MDL must be either reported as measured or they can be reported as one-half the PQL specified for lead and copper in paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this section. All levels below the lead and copper MDLs must be reported as zero.

(4) All copper levels measured between the PQL and the MDL must be either reported as measured or they can be reported as one-half the PQL (0.025 mg/L). All levels below the copper

MDL must be reported as zero.

(b) [Reserved]

[56 FR 26548, June 7, 1991, as amended at 57 FR 28789, June 29, 1992; 57 FR 31847, July 17, 1992; 59 FR 33863, June 30, 1994; 59 FR 62470, Dec. 5, 1994; 64 FR 67466, Dec. 1, 1999; 65 FR 2012, Jan. 12, 2000; 72 FR 57819, Oct. 10, 2007]

§ 141.131

§ 141.131 Analytical requirements.

(a) General. (1) Systems must use only the analytical methods specified in this section, or their equivalent as approved by EPA, to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of this subpart and with the requirements of subparts U and V of this part. These methods are effective for compliance monitoring February 16, 1999, unless a different effective date is specified in this section or by the State.

(2) The following documents are incorporated by reference. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be inspected at EPA's Drinking Water Docket, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., EPA West, Room B102, Washington, DC 20460, or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/

code of federal regulations/
ibr_locations.html. EPA Method 552.1 is
in Methods for the Determination of Organic Compounds in Drinking Water-Supplement II, USEPA, August 1992, EPA/600/R-92/129 (available through National Information Technical Service (NTIS), PB92-207703). EPA Methods

502.2, 524.2, 551.1, and 552.2 are in Methods for the Determination of Organic Compounds in Drinking Water-Supplement III, USEPA, August 1995, EPA/600/ R-95/131 (available through NTIS, PB95-261616). EPA Method 300.0 is in Methods for the Determination of Inorganic Substances in Environmental Samples, USEPA, August 1993, EPA/600/ R-93/100 (available through NTIS, PB94-121811). EPA Methods 300.1 and 321.8 are in Methods for the Determination of Organic and Inorganic Compounds in Drinking Water, Volume 1, USEPA, August 2000, EPA 815-R-00-014 (available through NTIS, PB2000-106981). EPA Method 317.0, Revision 2.0, "Determination of Inorganic Oxyhalide Disinfection By-Products in Drinking Water Using Ion Chromatography with the Addition of a Postcolumn Reagent for Trace Bromate Analysis," USEPA, July 2001, EPA 815-B-01-001, EPA Method 326.0, Revision 1.0, "Determination of Inorganic Oxyhalide Disinfection By-Products in Drinking Water Using Ion Chromatography Incorporating the Addition of a Suppressor Acidified Postcolumn Reagent for Trace Bromate Analysis," USEPA, June 2002, EPA 815-R-03-007, EPA Method 327.0, Revision 1.1, "Determination of Chlorine Dioxide and Chlorite Ion in Drinking Water Using Lissamine Green B and Horseradish Peroxidase with Detection by Visible Spectrophotometry," USEPA. Mav 2005, EPA 815-R-05-008 and EPA Method 552.3, Revision 1.0, "Determination of Haloacetic Acids and Dalapon in Liquid-liquid Drinking Water bν Microextraction, Derivatization, and Gas Chromatography with Electron Capture Detection," USEPA, July 2003, EPA-815-B-03-002 can be accessed and downloaded directly on-line at http:// www.epa.gov/safewater/methods/ sourcalt.html. EPA Method 415.3. Revision 1.1, "Determination of Total Organic Carbon and Specific UV Absorbance at 254 nm in Source Water and Drinking Water," USEPA, February 2005, EPA/600/R-05/055 can be accessed and downloaded directly on-line at www.epa.gov/nerlcwww/ordmeth.htm. Standard Methods 4500-Cl D, 4500-Cl E, 4500-C1 F, 4500-C1 G, 4500-C1 H, 500-C1 I, 4500-ClO2 D, 4500-ClO2 E, 6251 B, and 5910 B shall be followed in accordance

with Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 19th or 20th Editions, American Public Health Association, 1995 and 1998, respectively. The cited methods published in either edition may be used. Standard Methods 5310 B, 5310 C, and 5310 D shall be followed in accordance with the Supplement to the 19th Edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, or the Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20th Edition, American Public Health Association, 1996 and 1998, respectively. The cited methods published in either edition may be used. Copies may be obtained from the American Public Association, 1015 Fifteenth Health Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005. Standard Methods 4500-Cl D-00, 4500-Cl E-00, 4500-C1 F-00, 4500-C1 G-00, 4500-C1 H-00, 4500-Cl I-00, 4500-ClO2 E-00, 6251 B-94, 5310 B-00, 5310 C-00, 5310 D-00 and 5910 B-00 are available at http:// www.standardmethods.org or at EPA's Water Docket. The year in which each method was approved by the Standard Methods Committee is designated by the last two digits in the method number. The methods listed are the only Online versions that are IBR-approved. ASTM Methods D 1253-86 and D 1253-86 (Reapproved 1996) shall be followed in accordance with the Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Volume 11.01, American Society for Testing and Materials International, 1996 or any ASTM edition containing the IBR-approved version of the method may be used. ASTM Method D1253-03 shall be followed in accordance with the Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Volume 11.01, American Society for Testing and Materials International, 2004 or any ASTM edition containing the IBR-approved version of the method may be used. ASTM Method D 6581-00 shall be followed in accordance with the Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Volume 11.01, American Society for Testing and Materials International, 2001 or any ASTM edition containing the IBR-approved version of the method may be used; copies may be obtained from the American Society for Testing and Materials International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959.

§ 141.131

(b) Disinfection byproducts. (1) Systems must measure disinfection byproducts by the methods (as modified by the footnotes) listed in the following table or one of the alternative methods listed in appendix A to subpart C of this part:

APPROVED METHODS FOR DISINFECTION BYPRODUCT COMPLIANCE MONITORING

Con	taminant and methodology 1	EPA method	Standard method 2	SM online ⁹	ASTM method ³
ТТНМ	P&T/GC/EICD & PID P&T/GC/MS	502.24 524.2			
HAA5	LLE/GC/ECD	551.1			
	LLE (diazomethane)/GC/ECD SPE (acidic methanol)/GC/ ECD.	552.15	6251 B5	6251 B-94	
0	LLE (acidic methanol)/GC/ ECD.	552.2, 552.3			
3romati	e lon chromatography	300.1			D 6581-00
	Ion chromatography & post column reaction.	317.0 Rev 2.0 s, 326.0 s.			D 0301-00
DLI 3	IC/ICP-MS	321.8 6,7			
Chlorite	Amperometric titration	327.0 Rev 1.1 e	4500-CIO ₂ E ⁸	4500-CIO ₂ E-00 ⁸	D 6581-00

- (2) Analyses under this section for disinfection byproducts must be conducted by laboratories that have received certification by EPA or the State, except as specified under paragraph (b)(3) of this section. To receive certification to conduct analyses for the DBP contaminants in §§141.64, 141.135, and subparts U and V of this part, the laboratory must:
- (i) Analyze Performance Evaluation (PE) samples that are acceptable to EPA or the State at least once during each consecutive 12 month period by each method for which the laboratory desires certification.
- (ii) Until March 31, 2007, in these analyses of PE samples, the laboratory must achieve quantitative results within the acceptance limit on a minimum of 80% of the analytes included in each PE sample. The acceptance limit is defined as the 95% confidence interval calculated around the mean of the PE study between a maximum and minimum acceptance limit of ±50% and ±15% of the study mean.
- (iii) Beginning April 1, 2007, the laboratory must achieve quantitative results on the PE sample analyses that are within the following acceptance limits:

DBP	Acceptance limits (percent of true value)	Comments
TTHM Chloroform	±20	Laboratory must meet all 4 indi- vidual THM ac- ceptance limits in order to success- fully pass a PE sample for TTHM
Bromodichlorom- ethane.	±20	Sample for tiriliw
Dibromochlorom- ethane	±20	
Bromoform	±20	
Monochloroacetic Acid.	±40	Laboratory must meet the accept- ance limits for 4 out of 5 of the HAA5 compounds in order to suc- cessfully pass a PE sample for HAA5

DBP	Acceptance limits (percent of true value)	Comments
Dichloroacetic Acid.	±40	
Trichloroacetic Acid.	±40	
Monobromoacet- ic Acid.	±40	
Dibromoacetic Acid.	±40	
Chlorite	±30	
Bromate	±30	

(iv) Beginning April 1, 2007, report quantitative data for concentrations at least as low as the ones listed in the following table for all DBP samples analyzed for compliance with §§141.64, 141.135, and subparts U and V of this part:

DBP	Minimum re- porting level (mg/L) ¹	Comments
TTHM ²		
Chloroform	0.0010	
Bromodichloromethane	0.0010	
Dibromochloromethane	0.0010	
Bromoform	0.0010	
HAA52		
Monochloroacetic Acid	0.0020	
Dichloroacetic Acid	0.0010	
Trichloroacetic Acid	0.0010	
Monobromoacetic Acid	0.0010	
Dibromoacetic Acid	0.0010	
Chlorite	0.020	Applicable to monitoring as prescribed in
		§ 141.132(b)(2)(1)(B) and (b)(2)(ii).
Bromate	0.0050 or	Laboratories that use EPA Methods 317.0 Revision 2.0,
	0.0010	326.0 or 321.8 must meet a 0.0010 mg/L MRL for bro-
	ĺ	mate.

¹ The calibration curve must encompass the regulatory minimum reporting level (MRL) concentration. Data may be reported for concentrations lower than the regulatory MRL as long as the precision and accuracy criteria are met by analyzing an MRL check standard at the lowest reporting limit chosen by the laboratory. The laboratory must verify the accuracy of the calibration curve at the MRL concentration by analyzing an MRL check standard with a concentration less than or equal to 110% of the MRL with each batch of samples. The measured concentration for the MRL check standard must be ±50% of the expected value, if any field sample in the batch has a concentration less than 5 times the regulatory MRL. Method requirements to analyze higher concentration check standards and meet tighter acceptance criteria for them must be met in addition to the MRL check standard requirement.

centration tries standards and meet tighter acceptance chiefa to their missible file. If addition to the write check standard requirement.

2 When adding the individual trihalomethane or haloacetic acid concentrations to calculate the TTHM or HAA5 concentrations, respectively, a zero is used for any analytical result that is less than the MRL concentration for that DBP, unless otherwise specified by the State.

- (3) A party approved by EPA or the State must measure daily chlorite samples at the entrance to the distribution system.
- (c) Disinfectant residuals. (1) Systems must measure residual disinfectant

concentration for free chlorine, combined chlorine (chloramines), and chlorine dioxide by the methods listed in the following table or one of the alternative methods listed in appendix A to subpart C of this part:

-	'				Residual measured 1			
Methodology	SM (19th or 20th ed)	SM Online ²	ASTM method	EPA method	Free Cl ₂	Com- bined Cl ₂	Total Cl ₂	CIO ₂
Amperometric Titration	4500-CI D	4500-Cl D-00	D 1253-86 (96), 03		х	х	х	
Low Level Amperometric Ti- tration.	4500-CI E	4500-CI E-00					х	
DPD Ferrous Titrimetric	4500-CI F	4500-C! F-00			Х	Х	х	
DPD Colorimetric	4500-CI G	4500-Ci G-00			X	Х	Х	
Syringaldazine (FACTS)	4500-CI H	4500CI H00			Х			
lodometric Electrode	4500-CH	4500CI I00			1		Х	
DPD	4500-CIO ₂ D							X
Amperometric Method II	4500-CIO₂ E	4500-CIO₂ E-						X
		00						
Lissamine Green Spectrophotometric.				327.0 Rev 1.1				X

¹X indicates method is approved for measuring specified disinfectant residual. Free chlorine or total chlorine may be measured for demonstrating compliance with the chlorine MRDL and combined chlorine, or total chlorine may be measured for demonstrating compliance with the chloramine MRDL.

²The Standard Methods Online version that is approved is indicated by the last two digits in the method number which is the year of approval by the Standard Method Committee. Standard Methods Online are available at http://www.standardmethods.org.

- (2) If approved by the State, systems may also measure residual disinfectant concentrations chlorine, for chloramines, and chlorine dioxide by using DPD colorimetric test kits.
- (3) A party approved by EPA or the State must measure residual disinfectant concentration.
- (d) Additional analytical methods. Systems required to analyze parameters not included in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section must use the following methods or one of the alternative methods listed in appendix A to subpart C of this part. A party approved by EPA or the State must measure these parameters.
- (1) Alkalinity. All methods allowed in §141.89(a) for measuring alkalinity.
- (2) Bromide. EPA Methods 300.0, 300.1, 317.0 Revision 2.0, 326.0, or ASTM D 6581-00.
- (3) Total Organic Carbon (TOC). Standard Method 5310 B or 5310 B-00 (High-Temperature Combustion Method) or Standard Method 5310 C or 5310 C-00 (Persulfate-Ultraviolet or Heated-Persulfate Oxidation Method) or Standard Method 5310 D or 5310 D-00 (Wet-Oxidation Method) or EPA Method 415.3 Revision 1.1. Inorganic carbon must be removed from the samples prior to analysis. TOC samples may not be filtered prior to analysis. TOC samples must be acidified at the time of sample collection to achieve pH less than or equal to 2 with minimal addition of the acid specified in the method or by the instrument manufacturer. Acidified

TOC samples must be analyzed within

- (4) Specific Ultraviolet Absorbance (SUVA). SUVA is equal to the UV absorption at 254nm (UV₂₅₄) (measured in m-1 divided by the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentration (measured as mg/L). In order to determine SUVA, it is necessary to separately measure UV₂₅₄ and DOC. When determining SUVA, systems must use the methods stipulated in paragraph (d)(4)(i) of this section to measure DOC and the method stipulated in paragraph (d)(4)(ii) of this section to measure UV254. SUVA must be determined on water prior to the addition of disinfectants/oxidants by the system. DOC and UV₂₅₄ samples used to determine a SUVA value must be taken at the same time and at the same location.
- (i) Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC). Standard Method 5310 B or 5310 B-00 (High-Temperature Combustion Method) or Standard Method 5310 C or 5310 C-00 (Persulfate-Ultraviolet or Heated-Persulfate Oxidation Method) or Standard Method 5310 D or 5310 D-00 (Wet-Oxidation Method) or EPA Method 415.3 Revision 1.1. DOC samples must be filtered through the 0.45 µm pore-diameter filter as soon as practical after sampling, not to exceed 48 hours. After filtration, DOC samples must be acidified to achieve pH less than or equal to 2 with minimal addition of the acid specified in the method or by the instrument manufacturer. Acidified DOC samples must be analyzed within 28

days of sample collection. Inorganic carbon must be removed from the samples prior to analysis. Water passed through the filter prior to filtration of the sample must serve as the filtered blank. This filtered blank must be analyzed using procedures identical to those used for analysis of the samples and must meet the following criteria: DOC < 0.5 mg/L.

- (ii) Ultraviolet Absorption at 254 nm (UV $_{254}$). Standard Method 5910 B or 5910 B–00 (Ultraviolet Absorption Method) or EPA Method 415.3 Revision 1.1. UV absorption must be measured at 253.7 nm (may be rounded off to 254 nm). Prior to analysis, UV $_{254}$ samples must be filtered through a 0.45 μ m pore-diameter filter. The pH of UV $_{254}$ samples may not be adjusted. Samples must be analyzed as soon as practical after sampling, not to exceed 48 hours.
- (5) pH. All methods allowed in 141.23(k)(1) for measuring pH.
- (6) Magnesium. All methods allowed in §141.23(k)(1) for measuring magnesium.

[63 FR 69466, Dec. 16, 1998, as amended at 66 FR 3776, Jan. 16, 2001; 71 FR 478, Jan. 4, 2006; 71 FR 37168, June 29, 2006; 74 FR 30958, June 29, 2009]

217.2



§141.402 Ground water source microbial monitoring and analytical methods.

(a) Triggered source water monitoring-(1) General requirements. A ground water system must conduct triggered source water monitoring if the conditions identified in paragraphs (a)(1)(i) and (a)(1)(ii) of this section exist.

(i) The system does not provide at least 4-log treatment of viruses (using inactivation, removal, or a State-approved combination of 4-log virus inactivation and removal) before or at the first customer for each ground water source; and

(ii) The system is notified that a sample collected under §141.21(a) is total coliform-positive and the sample is not invalidated under §141.21(c).

(2) Sampling requirements. A ground water system must collect, within 24 hours of notification of the total coliform-positive sample, at least one ground water source sample from each ground water source in use at the time the total coliform-positive sample was collected under §141.21(a), except as provided in paragraph (a)(2)(ii) of this section.

(i) The State may extend the 24-hour time limit on a case-by-case basis if the system cannot collect the ground water source water sample within 24 hours due to circumstances beyond its control. In the case of an extension, the State must specify how much time the system has to collect the sample.

(ii) If approved by the State, systems with more than one ground water source may meet the requirements of this paragraph (a)(2) by sampling a representative ground water source or sources. If directed by the State, systems must submit for State approval a triggered source water monitoring plan that identifies one or more ground water sources that are representative of each monitoring site in the system's sample siting plan under §141.21(a) and that the system intends to use for representative sampling under this paragraph.

(iii) A ground water system serving 1,000 people or fewer may use a repeat sample collected from a ground water

§ 141.402

source to meet both the requirements of §141.21(b) and to satisfy the monitoring requirements of paragraph (a)(2) of this section for that ground water source only if the State approves the use of *E. coli* as a fecal indicator for source water monitoring under this paragraph (a). If the repeat sample collected from the ground water source is *E.coli* positive, the system must comply with paragraph (a)(3) of this section.

- (3) Additional requirements. If the State does not require corrective action under §141.403(a)(2) for a fecal indicator-positive source water sample collected under paragraph (a)(2) of this section that is not invalidated under paragraph (d) of this section, the system must collect five additional source water samples from the same source within 24 hours of being notified of the fecal indicator-positive sample.
- (4) Consecutive and wholesale systems—
 (i) In addition to the other requirements of this paragraph (a), a consecutive ground water system that has a total coliform-positive sample collected under §141.21(a) must notify the wholesale system(s) within 24 hours of being notified of the total coliform-positive sample.
- (ii) In addition to the other requirements of this paragraph (a), a whole-sale ground water system must comply with paragraphs (a)(4)(ii)(A) and (a)(4)(ii)(B) of this section.
- (A) A wholesale ground water system that receives notice from a consecutive system it serves that a sample collected under §141.21(a) is total collected under system serves, within 24 hours of being notified, collect a sample from its ground water source(s) under paragraph (a)(2) of this section and analyze it for a fecal indicator under paragraph (c) of this section.
- (B) If the sample collected under paragraph (a)(4)(ii)(A) of this section is fecal indicator-positive, the wholesale ground water system must notify all consecutive systems served by that ground water source of the fecal indicator source water positive within 24 hours of being notified of the ground water source sample monitoring result and must meet the requirements of paragraph (a)(3) of this section.
- (5) Exceptions to the triggered source water monitoring requirements. A ground

- water system is not required to comply with the source water monitoring requirements of paragraph (a) of this section if either of the following conditions exists:
- (i) The State determines, and documents in writing, that the total coliform-positive sample collected under §141.21(a) is caused by a distribution system deficiency; or
- (ii) The total coliform-positive sample collected under §141.21(a) is collected at a location that meets State criteria for distribution system conditions that will cause total coliform-positive samples.
- (b) Assessment source water monitoring. If directed by the State, ground water systems must conduct assessment source water monitoring that meets State-determined requirements for such monitoring. A ground water system conducting assessment source water monitoring may use a triggered source water sample collected under paragraph (a)(2) of this section to meet the requirements of paragraph (b) of this section. State-determined assessment source water monitoring requirements may include:
- (1) Collection of a total of 12 ground water source samples that represent each month the system provides ground water to the public,
- (2) Collection of samples from each well unless the system obtains written State approval to conduct monitoring at one or more wells within the ground water system that are representative of multiple wells used by that system and that draw water from the same hydrogeologic setting,
- (3) Collection of a standard sample volume of at least 100 mL for fecal indicator analysis regardless of the fecal indicator or analytical method used,
- (4) Analysis of all ground water source samples using one of the analytical methods listed in the in paragraph (c)(2) of this section for the presence of *E. coli*, enterococci, or coliphage,
- (5) Collection of ground water source samples at a location prior to any treatment of the ground water source unless the State approves a sampling location after treatment, and
- (6) Collection of ground water source samples at the well itself unless the system's configuration does not allow

for sampling at the well itself and the State approves an alternate sampling location that is representative of the water quality of that well.

(c) Analytical methods. (1) A ground water system subject to the source monitoring requirements of paragraph (a) of this section must collect a standard sample volume of at least 100 mL for fecal indicator analysis regardless of the fecal indicator or analytical method used.

(2) A ground water system must analyze all ground water source samples collected under paragraph (a) of this section using one of the analytical methods listed in the following table in paragraph (c)(2) of this section or one of the alternative methods listed in appendix A to subpart C of this part for the presence of E. coli, enterococci, or coliphage:

ANALYTICAL METHODS FOR SOURCE WATER MONITORING

Fecal indicator 1	Methodology	Method citation
E. coli	Colilert 3	9223 B.2
	Colisure 3	9223 B.2
	Membrane Filter Method with MI Agar	EPA Method 1604.4
	m-ColiBlue24 Test 5	
	E*Colite Test 6	
	EC-MUG 7	9221 F. ²
	NA-MUG 7	9222 G.2
Enterococci	Multiple-Tube Technique	9230B.2
	Membrane Filter Technique	9230C.2
	Membrane Filter Technique	EPA Method 1600.8
	Enterolert 9	
Coliphage	Two-Step Enrichment Presence-Absence Procedure.	EPA Method 1601.10
	Single Agar Laver Procedure	EPA Method 1602.11

Analyses must be conducted in accordance with the documents listed below. The Director of the Federal Register approves the incorporation by reference of the documents listed in footnotes 2–11 in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies of the documents may be obtained from the sources listed below. Copies may be inspected at EPA's Drinking Water Docket, EPA West, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., EPA West, Room B102, Washington DC 20460 (Telephone: 202–568–2426); or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–8030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/bir_locations.html.

'The time from sample collection to initiation of analysis may not exceed 30 hours. The ground water system is encouraged but is not required to hold samples below 10 °C during transit.

2 Methods are described in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th edition (1998) and copies may be obtained from the American Public Health Association, 1015 Fifteenth Street, NW., Washington, DC 2005–2605.

3 Medium is available through IDEXX Laboratories, Inc., One IDEXX Drive, Westbrook, Maine 04092.

4 EPA Method 1804. Total Coliforms and Escherichia coff in Water by Membrane Filtration Using a Simultaneous Detection Technique (MI Medium); September 2002, EPA 821–R-02–024. Method is available at http://www.epa.gov/ner/cwww/8045002.pdf or from EPA's Water Resource Center (RC-4100T), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460.

5 A description of the m-ColiBlue24 Test, "Total Coliforms and E-coli Membrane Filtration Method with m-ColiBlue24* Broth," Method No. 10029 Revision 2, August 17, 1999, is available from Heah Company, 100 Dayton Ave., Ames, 14 50010 or from EPA's Water Resource Center (RC-4100T), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460.

6 A description of the E'Colite Test, "Charm E'Colite Presence/Absence Test for Detection and identification of Coliforms

rence, MA D1843—1032 or from EPA's water Resource Center (RC-41001), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460.

7 EC-MUG (Method 9221F) or NA-MUG (Method 9222G) can be used for E. coli testing step as described in § 141.21(f)(6)(i) or (ii) after use of Standard Methods 9221 B, 9221 D, 9222 B, or 9222 C.

PEPA Method 1600: Enterococci in Water by Membrane Filtration Using membrane-Enterococcus Indoxyl-β-D-Glucoside Agar (mEl) EPA 821-R-02-022 (September 2002) is an approved variation of Standard Method 9230C. The method is available at http://www.epa.gov/her/lowww/1600sp02.pdf or from EPA's Water Resource Center (RC-4100T), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. The holding time and temperature for ground water samples are specified in footnote 1 above, rather than as specified in Section 8 of EPA Method 1600.

9 Medium is available through IDEXX Laboratories, Inc., One IDEXX Drive, Westbrook, Maine 04092. Preparation and use of the medium is set forth in the article "Evaluation of Enterolert for Enumeration of Enterococci in Recreational Waters," by Budnick, G.E., Howard, R.T., and Mayo, D.R., 1998, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 82:3881–3884.

10 EPA Method 1501: Male-specific (F-) and Somatic Collphage in Water by Two-step Enrichment Procedure; April 2001, EPA 821-R-01-030. Method is available at http://www.epa.gov/nericwww/1602ap01.pdf or from EPA's Water Resource Center (RC-4100T), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460.

(d) Invalidation of a fecal indicatorpositive ground water source sample. (1) A ground water system may obtain State invalidation of a fecal indicator-positive ground water source sample collected under paragraph (a) of this section only under the conditions specified in paragraphs (d)(1)(i) and (ii) of this section.

- (i) The system provides the State with written notice from the laboratory that improper sample analysis occurred; or
- (ii) The State determines and documents in writing that there is substantial evidence that a fecal indicator-positive ground water source sample is not related to source water quality.
- (2) If the State invalidates a fecal indicator-positive ground water source sample, the ground water system must collect another source water sample under paragraph (a) of this section within 24 hours of being notified by the State of its invalidation decision and have it analyzed for the same fecal indicator using the analytical methods in paragraph (c) of this section. The State may extend the 24-hour time limit on a case-by-case basis if the system cannot collect the source water sample within 24 hours due to circumstances beyond its control. In the case of an extension, the State must specify how much time the system has to collect the sample.
- (e) Sampling location. (1) Any ground water source sample required under paragraph (a) of this section must be collected at a location prior to any treatment of the ground water source unless the State approves a sampling location after treatment.
- (2) If the system's configuration does not allow for sampling at the well itself, the system may collect a sample at a State-approved location to meet the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section if the sample is representative of the water quality of that well.
- (f) New sources. If directed by the State, a ground water system that places a new ground water source into service after November 30, 2009, must conduct assessment source water monitoring under paragraph (b) of this section. If directed by the State, the system must begin monitoring before the ground water source is used to provide water to the public.
- (g) Public notification. A ground water system with a ground water source sample collected under paragraph (a) or (b) of this section that is fecal indicator-positive and that is not invalidated under paragraph (d) of this section, including consecutive systems served by the ground water source,

must conduct public notification under §141.202.

(h) Monitoring violations. Failure to meet the requirements of paragraphs (a)-(f) of this section is a monitoring violation and requires the ground water system to provide public notification under §141.204.

[71 FR 65653, Nov. 8, 2006; 71 FR 67427, Nov. 21, 2006, as amended at 74 FR 30958, June 29, 2009]

§ 141.704

§141.704 Analytical methods.

(a) Cryptosporidium. Systems must analyze for Cryptosporidium using Method 1623: Cryptosporidium and Giardia in Water by Filtration/IMS/FA, 2005, United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-815-R-05-002 or Method 1622: Cryptosporidium in Water by Filtration/IMS/FA, 2005, United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-815-R-05-001, which are incorporated by

reference, or alternative methods listed in appendix A to subpart C of this part. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. You may obtain a copy of these methods online from http://www.epa.gov/safewater/disinfection/lt2 or from the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water, 1201 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460 (Telephone: 800-426-4791). You may inspect a copy at the Water Docket in the EPA Docket Center, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC (Telephone: 202-566-2426) or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or to: http://www.archives.gov/ federal_register/ code of federal_regulations/ ibr locations.html.

- (1) Systems must analyze at least a 10 L sample or a packed pellet volume of at least 2 mL as generated by the methods listed in paragraph (a) of this section. Systems unable to process a 10 L sample must analyze as much sample volume as can be filtered by two filters approved by EPA for the methods listed in paragraph (a) of this section, up to a packed pellet volume of at least 2 mL.
- (2)(i) Matrix spike (MS) samples, as required by the methods in paragraph (a) of this section, must be spiked and filtered by a laboratory approved for *Cryptosporidium* analysis under §141.705.
- (ii) If the volume of the MS sample is greater than 10 L, the system may filter all but 10 L of the MS sample in the field, and ship the filtered sample and the remaining 10 L of source water to the laboratory. In this case, the laboratory must spike the remaining 10 L of water and filter it through the filter used to collect the balance of the sample in the field.
- (3) Flow cytometer-counted spiking suspensions must be used for MS samples and ongoing precision and recovery (OPR) samples.
- (b) E. coli. System must use methods for enumeration of E. coli in source water approved in §136.3(a) of this

chapter or alternative methods listed in appendix A to subpart C of this part.

- (1) The time from sample collection to initiation of analysis may not exceed 30 hours unless the system meets the condition of paragraph (b)(2) of this section.
- (2) The State may approve on a case-by-case basis the holding of an *E. coli* sample for up to 48 hours between sample collection and initiation of analysis if the State determines that analyzing an *E. coli* sample within 30 hours is not feasible. *E. coli* samples held between 30 to 48 hours must be analyzed by the Colilert reagent version of Standard Method 9223B as listed in §136.3(a) of this title.
- (3) Systems must maintain samples between 0 °C and 10 °C during storage and transit to the laboratory.
- (c) *Turbidity*. Systems must use methods for turbidity measurement approved in §141.74(a)(1).

[71 FR 769, Jan. 5, 2006, as amended at 74 FR 30959, June 29, 2009]

40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-11 Edition)

§ 143.4 Monitoring.

(a) It is recommended that the parameters in these regulations should be monitored at intervals no less frequent than the monitoring performed for inorganic chemical contaminants listed in the National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regulations as applicable to community water systems. More frequent monitoring would be appropriate for specific parameters such as pH, color, odor or others under certain circumstances as directed by the State.

(b) Measurement of pH, copper and fluoride to determine compliance under

§143.3 may be conducted with one of the methods in §141.23(k)(1). Analyses of aluminum, chloride, foaming agents, iron, manganese, odor, silver, sulfate, total dissolved solids (TDS) and zinc to determine compliance under §143.3 may be conducted with the methods in the following table or alternative methods listed in appendix A to subpart C of part 141. Criteria for analyzing aluminum, copper, iron, manganese, silver and zinc samples with digestion or directly without digestion, and other analytical test procedures are contained in *Technical Notes on Drinking Water Methods*, EPA-600/R-94-173, October 1994. This document is available from the National Service Center for Environmental Publications (NSCEP), P.O. Box 42419, Cincinnati, OH 45242-0419 or http://www.epa.gov/nscep/.

SM ⁷ Online	3120 B-99. 3113 B-99. 3111 D-99. 4110 B-00. 4500-Cl- D-97.		2120 B-01. 5540 C-00. 3120 B-99. 3111 B-99. 3120 B-99.	2120 B-01. 5540 C-00. 3110 B-99. 3111 B-99. 3111 B-99. 3111 B-99. 3111 B-99. 3111 B-99. 3111 B-99. 3111 B-99.
SM 4 20th ed.	4500-CI- B	120 B 5540 C 3120 B	2150 B 3120 B 4110 B	4500–50,²– F. 4500–50,²– C, D. 4500–50,²– E. 2540 C.
SM4 18th and 19th ed.	3110 B 3111 D 4110 B 4500-CI- B 511	2120 B 5540 C 3120 B 3111 B 3120 B	3111 B 3113 B 3113 B 3120 B 3111 B 3113 B 3113 B 3111 B 3113 B 3113 B 3113 B 3110 B 31	4500–SO ₄ 2– F
ASTM*	D512-89 (Reapproved 1999) B.			D516–90, 0ż
EPA	200.72 200.82 200.92 300.01, 300.16	200.72 200.92 200.72	200.82 200.92 200.72 200.82 200.92 300.01,	375.21
Contaminant	1. Aluminum	3. Color	7. Odor	10. Total Dissolved Solids

The procedures shall be done in accordance with the documents listed below. The incorporation by reference of the following documents was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies of the documents may be obtained from the sources listed below. Information regarding obtaining these documents may be inspected at EPA's Director and the Constitution Avenue.

NWA, Recom 3334, Washington, DC (Telephine: 202–5682-203), or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NAFA). For information on the availability of this material at NAFA, Each 202, and provided and progression and procession and the availability of this material at "Wethous to the Determination of Intrograms Substances in Environmental Samples. "Environmental Samples." EPA6007H-93-1(0) August 1993, Available at NTIS, PB 95-125472.

3 Annual Book of ASTM Standards 1994, 1996, 1995, or 2004, Vols. 11.0f and 11.02. ASTM International of the method may be used. Copies may be obtained from the ASTM International Vols. 11.0f and 11.02. ASTM International Community of the second of the method may of these three editions may be used, except that the versions of the method builds in any of these three editions may be used, except that the versions of Water Resources Investigation of the U.S. Geological Survey, Book 5, Chapter A-1, 3rd ed,, 1989, Available from Information Services, U.S. Geological Survey, Federal Center, Box 25286, Denver, CO 80225-0425.

e"Methods for the Determination of Organic and Inorganic Compounds in Drinking Water," Vol. 1, EPA 815R-00-014, August 2000. Available at NTIS, PB2000-106981.

7 Standard Methods Online are available at http://www.standardmethods.org. The year in which each method was approved by the Standard Methods Committee is designated by the Island was fire method number. The methods listed are the only online versions that may be used.

8 Method D6508, Ref. 2, "Test Method for Determination of Dissolved Inorganic Anions in Aqueous Matrices Using Capillary fon Electrophoresis and Chromate Electrolyte," available from Waters Corp. 34 Maple St., Milford, MA, 01757, Telephone: 508/492-2131, Fax: 508/492-3625.

[44 FR 42198, July 19, 1979, as amended at 53 FR 5147, Feb. 19, 1988; 56 FR 30281, July 1, 1991; 59 FR 62470, Dec. 5, 1994; 64 FR 67466, Dec. 1, 1999; 67 FR 65252, Oct. 23, 2002; 69 FR 18803, Apr. 9, 2004; 72 FR 11248, Mar. 12, 2007; 74 FR 30959, June 29, 2009]



APPENDIX A TO SUBPART C OF PART 141—ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS APPROVED FOR ANALYSES UNDER THE SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT

Only the editions stated in the following table are approved.

Environmental Protection Agency

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.21(f)(3)

Organism	Methodology	SM 21st edition 1	Other
Total Coliforms	Total Coliform Fermenta- tion Technique.	9221 A, B	
	Total Coliform Membrane Filter Technique.	9222 A, B, C	
	Presence-Absence (P-A) Coliform Test.	9221 D	
	ONPG-MUG Test	9223	Modified Colitag™ 13

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.21(f)(6)

Organism	Methodology	SM 20th edition ⁸	SM 21st edition 1	SM on- line ³	Other
E. coll	ONPG-MUG Test	9223 8	9223 B	9223 B-97	Modified Colitag™ 13

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.23(k)(1)

Conteminant	Methodology	EPA method	SM 21st edition 1	SM online ³	ASTM⁴	Other
Alkalinity Antimony	Titrimetric		2320 B 3113 B	3113 B-04	D 1067-06 B D 3697-07	
	Axially viewed inductively cou- pled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (AVICP-AES).	200.5, Revi- sion 4.2.2				
Arsenic	Atomic Absorption; Furnace Hydride Atomic Absorption Axially viewed inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (AVICP-AES).	200.5, Revision 4.2.2	3113 B 3114 B	3113 B-04 3114 B-09	D 2972-08 C D 2972-08 B	
Barium	Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Absorption; Direct Atomic Absorption; Furnace Axially viewed inductively cou- pled plasma-atomic emission	200.5, Revi- sion 4.2.2	3120 B 3111 D 3113 B	3113 B-04	- 10 dg	
Beryllium	spectrometry (AVICP-AES). Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Absorption; Furnace Axially viewed inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (AVICP-AES).	200.5, Revi- sion 4.2.2	3120 B 3113 B	3113 B-04	D 3645-08 B	
Cadmium	Atomic Absorption; Furnace Axially viewed inductively cou- pled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (AVICP-AES).	200.5, Revision 4.2.2	3113 B	3113 B-04		
Calcium	EDTA titrimetric		3500–Ca B 3111 B		D 511-09 A D 511-09 B	
	Axially viewed inductively cou- pled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (AVICP-AES).	200.5, Revision 4.2.2	3120 B			
Chromium	Ion Chromatography Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Absorption; Furnace			3113 B-04	D 6919-09	

Pt. 141, Subpt. C, App. A 40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-11 Edition)

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.23(k)(1)—Continued

Contaminant	Methodology	EPA method	SM 21st edition 1	SM online ³	ASTM⁴	Other
	Axially viewed inductively cou- pled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (AVICP-AES).	200.5, Revi- sion 4.2.2			-	
Copper	Atomic Absorption; Furnace Atomic Absorption; Direct Aspiration.		3113 B 3111 B	3113 8-04	D 1688-07 C D 1688-07 A	
	inductively Coupled Plasma Axially viewed inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (AVICP-AES).	200.5, Revi- sion 4.2.2	3120 B			
Conductivity Cyanide	Conductance		2510 B 4500-CN		D2036-06 A D2036-06 B	
	Amenable. Spectrophotometric Manual Selective Electrode		G 4500-CN-E 4500-CN-F		D2036-06 A	ME355.017
Fluoride	Spectrometry Headspace. lon Chromatography		4110 B 4500-F B, D 4500-F-C 4500-F-E		D 1179–04 B	Hach SPADNS 2
Lead	Atomic Absorption; Furnace Axially viewed inductively cou- pled plasma-atomic emission	200.5, Revi- sion 4.2.2	3113 B	3113 B-04	D 3559-08 D	Method 10225.22
Magnesium	spectrometry (AVICP-AES). Atomic Absorption; Direct Inductively Coupled Plasma Complexation Titrimetric Meth-		3111 B 3120 B 3500-Mg B		D 511-09 B	
	ods. Axially viewed inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (AVICP-AES). Ion Chromatography	200.5, Revision 4.2.2			D 6919-09	
Mercury Nickel	Manual, Cold Vapor	200.5, Revi-	3112 B 3120 B 3111 B 3113 B	3113 B-04		
Nitrate	pled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (AVICP-AES). Ion Chromatography Automated Cadmium Reduction Manual Cadmium Reduction Ion Selective Electrode	sion 4.2, 2	4110 B 4500-NO ₃ -F 4500-NO ₃ -E 4500-NO ₃ -D			
	Reduction/Colorimetric					Systea Easy (1-Rea- gent). ⁶ Hach TNTplus ^{TN} 835/836 Method
Nitrite	lon Chromatography Automated Cadmium Reduction		4110 B 4500-NO ₃ -			10206.28
	Manual Cadmium Reduction		F 4500-NO ₃ - E			
	Spectrophotometric		4500-NO ₂ - B			Systea Easy
Orthophospha- te.	lon Chromatography		4110 B			(1-Reagent) ²
	Colorimetric, ascorbic acid, single reagent.		4500–P €	4500-P E- 99		

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.23(k)(1)—Continued

Contaminant	Methodology	EPA method	SM 21st edition 1	SM online ³	ASTM⁴	Other
	Colorimetric, Automated, Ascor- bic Acid.		4500-P F	4500-P F-99		
pH	Electrometric		4500-H+ B			
Selenium	Hydride—Atomic Absorption		3114 B	3114 B-09	D 3859-08 A	
	Atomic Absorption; Furnace		3113 B	3113 B-04	D 3859-08 B	
	Axially viewed inductively cou-	200.5. Revi-				
	pled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (AVICP-AES).	sion 4.2.2				
Silica	Colorimetric				D859-05	
	Molybdosilicate		4500-SiO ₂ C			
	Heteropoly blue		4500-SiO ₂ D			
	Automated for Molybdate-reac- tive Silica.	***************************************	4500-SiO₂ E			
	Axially viewed inductively cou-	200.5, Revi-		i		
	pled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (AVICP-AES).	sion 4.2.2				
	Inductively Coupled Plasma		3120 B			
Sodium	Atomic Absorption; Direct Aspi-		3111 B			
	Axially viewed inductively cou-	200.5, Revi-	ļ			
	pled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (AVICP-AES).	sion 4.2.2				
	lon Chromatography	İ		}	D 6919-09	
Temperature	Thermometric		2550			

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.24(e)(1)

Contaminant	Methodology	EPA method	SM 21st edition *	SM pnine#
Benzene	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3 ⁸		
Carbon tetrachloride	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
Chlorobenzene	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
1,2-Dichloroethane	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
cis-Dichloroethylene	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
Trans- Dichloroethylene.	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
Dichloromethane	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
1,2-Dichloropropane	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
Ethylbenzene	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
Styrene	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
Tetrachioroethylene	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
1,1,1-Trichloro- ethane.	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
Trichloroethylene	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
Toluene	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene.	Purge & Trap/Gas Chrometography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
1,1-Dichloroethylene	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
1,1,2-Trichlorethane	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
Vinyl chloride	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		

40 CFR Ch. | (7-1-11 Edition)

Pt. 141, Subpt. C, App. A

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.24(e)(1)—Continued

Contaminant	Methodology	EPA method	SM 21st edition 1	SM online ³
Xylenes (total)	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		_
2,4-D	Gas Chromatography/Electron Capture Detection (GC/ECD).		6640 B	6640 B-01
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	Gas Chromatography/Electron Capture Detection (GC/ECD).		6640 B	6640 B-01
Carbofuran	High-performance liquid chroma- tography (HPLC) with post-column derivatization and fluorescence detec- tion.	,	6610 B	6610 B-04
Dalapon	Ion Chromatography Electrospray Ion- ization Tandem Mass Spectrometry (IC-ESI-MS/MS).	557 14		"
	Gas Chromatography/Electron Capture Detection (GC/ECD).		6640 B	6640 B-01
Dibromochloro- propane (DBCP).	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
Dinoseb	Gas Chromatography/Electron Capture Detection (GC/ECD).		6640 9	6640 B-01
Ethyl dibromide (EDB).	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		
Glyphosate	High-Performance Liquid Chroma- tography (HPLC) with Post-Column Derivatization and Fluorescence De- tection.		6651 B	6651 B-00
Oxamyl	High-performance liquid chroma- tography (HPLC) with post-column derivatization and fluorescence detec- tion.		6610 B	6610 B-04
Pentachlorophenol	Gas Chromatography/Electron Capture Detection (GC/ECD).		6640 B	6640 B-01
Picloram	Gas Chromatography/Electron Capture Detection (GC/ECD).		6640 B	6640 B-01
Total Trihalomethanes.	Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry.	524.3		

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.25(a)

Contaminant	Methodology	SM 21st edition 1	ASTM⁴
Naturally Occurring:			
Gross alpha and beta	Evaporation	7110 B	
Gross alpha	Coprecipitation	7110 C	
Radium 226	Radon emanation	7500-Ra C	D3454-05
	Radiochemical	7500-Ra B	D246007
Radium 228	Radiochemical	7500-Ra D	
Uranium	Radiochemical	7500-U B	
	ICP-MS		D5673-05
	Alpha spectrometry	7500-U C	D3972-09
	Laser Phosphorimetry		D5174-07
Man-Made:			
Radioactive Cesium	Radiochemical	7500-Cs B	
	Gamma Ray Spectrometry	7120	D3649-06
Radioactive Iodine	Radiochemical	7500-I B	D3649-06
		7500-I C	
		7500I D	
	Gamma Ray Spectrometry	7120	D4785-08
Radioactive Strontium 89, 90	Radiochemical	7500-Sr B	1
Tritium	Liquid Scintillation	7500-3H B	D4107-08
Gamma Emitters	Gamma Ray Spectrometry	7120	D3649-06
		7500-Cs B	D4785-08
		7500-I B	

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.74(a)(1)

Organism	Methodology	SM 21st edition 1	Other
Total Coliform	Total Coliform Fermentation Technique	9221 A. B. C	

Pt. 141, Subpt. C, App. A

Environmental Protection Agency

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.74(a)(1)—Continued

Organism	Methodology	SM 21st edition ¹	Other
	Total Coliform Membrane Filter Technique	9222 A, B, C 9223	
Fecal Coliforms	Fecal Coliform Procedure	9221 E	
	Fecal Coliform Filter Procedure	9222 D	
Heterotrophic bac- teria.	Pour Plate Method	9215 B	
Turbidity	Nephelometric Method	2130 B	
,	Laser Nephelometry (on-line)		Mitchell M5271 10
	LED Nephelometry (on-line)		Mitchell M5331 11
	LED Nephelometry (on-line)		AMI Turbiwell 15
	LED Nephelometry (portable)		Orion AQ4500 12

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR DISINFECTANT RESIDUALS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.74(a)(2)

Residual	Methodology	SM 21st Edition 1	ASTM⁴	Other
Free Chlorine	Amperometric Titration	4500-CI D	D 1253-08.	
	DPD Ferrous Titrimetric	4500CI F.		
	DPD Colorimetric	4500-Cl G.		
	Syringaldazine (FACTS)	4500CI H.		
	On-line Chlorine Analyzer		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	EPA 334.0.16
	Amperometric Sensor			ChloroSense.17
Total Chlorine	Amperometric Titration	4500-CID	D 1253-08.	
	Amperometric Titration (Low level	4500-CI E.		
	measurement).			
	DPD Ferrous Titrimetric	4500-CI F.		
	DPD Colorimetric	4500-Cl G.		
	lodometric Electrode	4500-CI I.		!
	On-line Chlorine Analyzer		***************************************	EPA 334.0.16
	Amperometric Sensor	,,,		ChloroSense.17
Chlorine Dioxide	Amperometric Titration	4500-CIO ₂ C.		
	Amperometric Titration	4500-CIO ₂ E.		
Ozone	Indigo Method	4500-O ₃ B.		ļ

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.131(b)(1)

Contaminant	Methodology	EPA Method	ASTM⁴	SM 21st Edi- tion 1
ТТНМ ,	P&T/GC/MS	°524.3		
HAA5	LLE (diazomethane)/GC/ECD	,		6251 B.
	lon Chromatography Electrospray Ion- ization Tandem Mass Spectrometry (IC-ESI-MS/MS).	14557		
Bromate	Two-Dimensional Ion Chromatography (IC).	18 302.0		
	Ion Chromatography Electrospray Ion- ization Tandern Mass Spectrometry (IC-ESI-MS/MS).	14 557		
	Chemically Suppressed Ion Chroma- tography.		D 6581-08 A.	
	Electrolytically Suppressed Ion Chroma- tography.		D 6581-08 B.	
Chlorite	Chemically Suppressed Ion Chroma- tography.		D 6581-08 A.	
	Electrolytically Suppressed Ion Chroma- tography.		D 6581-08 B.	
Chlorite—daily monitoring as prescribed in 40 CFR 141.132(b)(2)(i)(A)	Amperometric Titration			4500-CIO ₂ E.

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR DISINFECTANT RESIDUALS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.131(c)(1)

Residual	Methodology	SM 21st Edition 1	ASTM4	Other
Free Chlorine	Amperometric Titration DPD Ferrous Titrimetric DPD Colorimetric Syringaldazine (FACTS)	4500-CI F. 4500-CI G.	D 1253-08	

40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-11 Edition)

Pt. 141, Subpt. C, App. A

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR DISINFECTANT RESIDUALS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.131(c)(1)— Continued

Residual	Methodology	SM 21st Edition 1	ASTM 4	Other
	Amperometric Sensor	***************************************		ChloroSense.17
	On-line Chlorine Analyzer		***************************************	EPA 334.0.16
Combined Chlorine	Amperometric Titration	4500-CID	D 1253-08.	
	DPD Ferrous Titrimetric	4500-CLF.		
	DPD Colorimetric	4500-Cl G.		
Total Chlorine	Amperometric Titration	4500Ci D	D 1253-08.	
	Low level Amperometric Titration	4500-Ci E.		
	DPD Ferrous Titrimetric	4500-CI F.		
	DPD Colorimetric	4500-CI G.		
	Iodometric Electrode	4500-CI I.		
	Amperometric Sensor			ChloroSense.17
	On-line Chlorine Analyzer			EPA 334.0.16
Chlorine Dioxide	Amperometric Method II	4500-CIO₂ E.		Ì

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR DISINFECTANT RESIDUALS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.131(c)(2), IF APPROVED BY THE STATE

Residual	Methodology	Method
Free Chlorine	Test Strips	Method D99–003 ⁵

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR PARAMETERS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.131(d)

Parameter	Methodology	SM 21st edition 1	EPA	
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	High Temperature Combustion	5310 B	415.3, Rev 1.2 19	
- , ,	Persulfate-Ultraviolet or Heated Persulfate Oxidation.	5310 C	415.3, Rev 1.2	
	Wet Oxidation	5310 D	415.3, Rev 1.2	
Specific Ultraviolet Absorbance (SUVA).	Calculation using DOC and UV ₂₅₄ data.		415.3, Rev 1.2	
Dissolved Organic Car- bon (DOC).	High Temperature Combustion	5310 B	415.3, Rev 1.2	
	Persulfate-Ultraviolet or Heated Persulfate Oxidation.	5310 C	415.3, Rev 1.2	
	Wet Oxidation	5310 D	415.3, Rev 1.2	
Ultraviolet absorption at 254 nm (UV ₂₅₄).	Spectrophotometry	5910 B	415.3, Rev 1.2	

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS WITH MRL > 0.0010 Mg/L FOR MONITORING LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.132(b)(3)(ii)(B)

Contaminant	Methodology	EPA method
Bromate	Two-Dimensional Ion Chromatography (IC)	302.018 55714

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.402(c)(2)

Organism	Methodology	SM 20th edition 6	SM 21st edition 1	SM online ³	Other
E. coli	Colisure	9223 B	9223 B	9223 B-97. 9223 B-97. 9223 B-97.	Readycult®,20 Modified Colitag™,13 Chromocult®,21

Pt. 141, Subpt. C, App. A

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.704(b)

Organism	Methodology	SM 20th edition ⁶
E. coli	Membrane Filtration, Two Step	9222 D/9222 G

ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 143.4(b)

		method	ASTM4	edition 1	SM online ³
Aluminum	Axially viewed inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (AVICP-AES). Atomic Absorption; Direct	200.5, Revision 4.2.2		31110	
	Atomic Absorption; Furnace			3113 B	3113 B-04
Chloride	Inductively Coupled Plasma		D 513 04 D	3120 B	
Chloride	Silver Nitrate Titration		D 512-04 B	4500-CI- B 4110 B	
	Potentiometric Titration			4500-CI- D	
Color	Visual Comparison			2120 B	
Foaming	Methylene Blue Active Sub-			5540 C	
Agents.	stances (MBAS). Axially viewed inductively	200.5,			
	coupled plasma-atomic	Revi-			
	emission spectrometry	sion 4.2.2			
	(AVICP-AES). Atomic Absorption; Direct	4.2		 3111 B	
	Atomic Absorption; Furnace			3113 B	3113 B-04
	Inductively Coupled Plasma			3120 B	3,,30 04
Man-	Axially viewed inductively	200.5.			1
ganese.	coupled plasma-atomic	Revi-			
~	emission spectrometry	sion	,		
	(AVICP-AÉS).	4.2.2			
	Atomic Absorption; Direct			3111 B	l
	Atomic Absorption; Furnace			3113 B	3113 B-04
	Inductively Coupled Plasma			3120 B	
Odor Silver	Threshold Odor Test	200.5.		2150 B	
O11461	coupled plasma-atomic	Revi-			
	emission spectrometry	sion			
	(AVICP-AES).	4.2.2			
	Atomic Absorption; Direct			3111 B	
	Atomic Absorption; Furnace	.,		3113 B	3113 B-04
	Inductively Coupled Plasma			3120 B	
Sulfate	Ion Chromatography			4110 B	4500 00 -10 07
	Gravimetric with ignition of	***************************************		4500 –\$O ₄−² C	4500-SO ₄ -2 C-97
	residue. Gravimetric with drying of			4500 –SO ₄ -2 D	4500-SO ₄ -2 D-97
	residue.		l	80> 5	4500 00 -05 05
	Turbidimetric method Automated methylthymol		D 516–07	4500 –SO ₄ ⁻² E 4500 –SO ₄ ⁻² F	4500-SO ₄ -2 E-97 4500-SO ₄ -2 F-97
	blue method.			.	
Total Dis- solved	Total Dissolved Solids Dried at 180 deg C.			2540 C	
Solids.	A	000 5		!	
Zinc	Axially viewed inductively	200.5, Revi-			
	coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry	Hevi- sion			
	(AVICP~AES).	4.2.			
	Atomic Absorption; Direct	٦.٤.		3111 B	Į.
	Aspiration.				
	Inductively Coupled Plasma			3120 B	

[74 FR 38353, Aug. 3, 2009, as amended at 74 FR 57914, Nov. 10, 2009; 74 FR 63069, Dec. 2, 2009; 75 FR 32299, June 8, 2010; 76 FR 37018, June 24, 2011]

¹Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 21st edition (2005). Available from American Public Health Association, 800 I Street, NW., Washington, DC

²EPA Method 200.5, Revision 4.2. "Determination of Trace Elements in Drinking Water by Axially Viewed Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry." 2003. EPA/600/R-06/115. (Available at http://www.epa.gov/nerlcwww/ordmeth.htm.)

3 Standard Methods Online are available at http://www.standardmethods.org. The year in which each method was approved by the Standard Methods Committee is designated by the last two digits in the method number. The methods listed are the only online versions that may be used.

⁴Available from ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959 or http://astm.org. The methods listed are the only alternative versions that may be used.

5 Method D99-003, Revision 3.0. "Free Chlorine Species (HOCl- and OCl-) by Test Strip," November 21, 2003. Available from Industrial Test Systems, Inc., 1875 Langston St., Rock Hill, SC 29730.

⁶Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20th edition (1998). Available from American Public Health Association, 800 I Street, NW., Washington, DC 20001-3710.

Method ME355.01, Revision 1.0. "Determination of Cyanide in Drinking Water by GC/MS Headspace," May 26, 2009. Available at http://www.nemi.gov or from James Eaton, H & E Testing Laboratory, 221 State Street, Augusta, ME 04333. (207) 287-2727.

⁸ Systea Easy (1-Reagent). "Systea Easy (1-Reagent) Nitrate Method," February 4, 2009. Available at http://www.nemi.gov or from Systea Scientific, LLC., 900 Jorie Blvd., Suite 35, Oak Brook, IL 60523.

⁹EPA Method 524.3, Version 1.0. "Measurement of Purgeable Organic Compounds in Water by Capillary Column Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry," June 2009. EPA 815-B-09-009. Available at http://epa.gov/ safewater/methods/

 $analytical methods_ogwdw.html.$

¹⁰ Mitchell Method M5271, Revision 1.1. "Determination of Turbidity by Laser Nephelometry," March 5, 2009. Available at http://www.nemi.gov or from Leck Mitchell, PhD, PE, 656 Independence Valley Dr., Grand Junction, CO 81507.

11 Mitchell Method M5331, Revision 1.1. "Determination of Turbidity by LED Nephelometry," March 5, 2009. Available at http://www.nemi.gov or from Leck Mitchell, PhD, PE, 656 Independence Valley Dr., Grand Junction, CO 81507.

12 Orion Method AQ4500, Revision 1.0. "Determination of Turbidity by LED Nephelometry," May 8, 2009. Available at http://www.nemi.gov or from Thermo Scientific, 166 Cummings Center, Beverly, MA 01915, http://www.thermo.com.

¹³ Modified ColitagTM Method, "Modified ColitagTM Test Method for the Simultaneous Detection of E. coli and other Total Coliforms in Water (ATP D05-0035)," August 28, 2009. Available at http://www.nemi.gov or from CPI, International, 580 Skylane Boulevard, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

¹⁴EPA Method 557. "Determination of Haloacetic Acids, Bromate, and Dalapon in Drinking Water by Ion Chromatography Electrospray Ionization Tandem Mass Spectrometry (IC-ESI-MS/MS)," September 2009. EPA 815-B-09-012. Available at http:// water.epa.gov/scitech/drinkingwater/labcert/ $analytical methods_expedited.cfm.$

15 AMI Turbiwell, "Continuous Measurement of Turbidity Using a SWAN AMI Turbiwell Turbidimeter," August 2009. Available at http://www.nemi.gov or from Markus Bernasconi, SWAN Analytische Instrumente AG, Studbachstrasse 13, CH-8340 Hinwil, Switzerland.

16 EPA Method 334.0. "Determination of Residual Chlorine in Drinking Water Using an On-line Chlorine Analyzer," August 2009. EPA 815-B-09-013. Available at http://epa.gov/ safewater/methods/

analyticalmethods ogwdw.html.

17 ChloroSense. "Measurement of Free and
Total Chlorine in Drinking Water by Palintest ChloroSense," September 2009. Available at http://www.nemi.gov or from Palintest Ltd, 21 Kenton Lands Road, PO Box 18395, Erlanger, KY 41018.

¹⁸EPA Method 302.0. "Determination of Bromate in Drinking Waters using Two-Dimensional Ion Chromatography with Suppressed Conductivity Detection," September 2009. EPA 815-B-09-014. Available at http:// epa.gov/safewater/methods/

analyticalmethods ogwdw.html.

19 EPA 415.3, Revision 1.2. "Determination of Total Organic Carbon and Specific UV Absorbance at 254 nm in Source Water and Drinking Water," August 2009. EPA/600/R-09/ Available at http://www.epa.gov/ nerlcwww/ordmeth.htm.

20 Readycult® Method, "Readycult® Coliforms 100 Presence/Absence Test for Detection and Identification of Coliform Bacteria and Escherichia coli in Finished Waters," January, 2007. Version 1.1. Available from EMD Chemicals (affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), 480 S. Democrat Road, Gibbstown, NJ 08027-1297.

21 Chromocult® Method,

"Chromocult" Coliform Agar Presence/Absence Membrane

Pt. 141, Subpt. C, App. A

Filter Test Method for Detection and Identification of Coliform Bacteria and *Escherichia coli* in Finished Waters," November, 2000. Version 1.0. EMD Chemicals (affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), 480 S. Democrat Road, Gibbstown, NJ 08027–1297.

- Pluoride in Water and Wastewater," January 2011. Selonado 80539. (Available at http://www.hach.com.)
- ²³ Hach Company Method, "Hach Company TNTplusTM 835/836 Nitrate Method 10206—Spectrophotometric Measurement of Nitrate in Water and Wastewater," January 2011. 5600 Lindbergh Drive, P.O. Box 389, Loveland, Colorado 80539. (Available at http://www.hach.com.)

[74 FR 38353, Aug. 3, 2009, as amended at 74 FR 57914, Nov. 10, 2009; 74 FR 63069, Dec. 2, 2009; 75 FR 32299, June 8, 2010; 76 FR 37018, June 24, 2011]